993.28

EMN for Energy Gases

Annarita Baldan, VSL















Working together with the EMN for Energy Gases

Annarita Baldan Chair of the EMN for Energy Gases

26 March 2025 EMN joint workshop



ENERGY GASES

Organisation

Part of EURAMET

JÜBİTAK

UM

- 21 NMI/DI members and 1 Partner
- Focus on metering and use of energy gases: conventional fluids and fluids related to (emerging) renewable/ sustainable energy sources, including CCUS

"Improving reliability in the measurement of energy gases"

BUDAPEST FÓVÁROS

CEM CENTRO ESPANOL

Central Office

IPO, Justervesenet

NRiM

Ihre Referenz.

METROLOGY

NSTITUTE

CZECH



EMN for Energy Gases Fact Sheet

- Engage with industry, regulation, standardization, policy (e.g. HE/HER, GERG, MARCOGAZ, DG Energy, CEN/CENELEC, ISO)
- Act as European metrology knowledge center for energy gases
- Facilitate energy transition by coordinating measurement research based on stakeholder needs
- Boost access to metrological services and calibration facilities

Cross-cutting character:

Methods & physical standards			
Chemical composition	Humidity		
Flow	Particles		
Pressure, Temperature, Density	Material data & Material testing		
Calorimetry	Leakage and emission measurements		



European Metrology Network for Energy Gases

This network provides measurement science expertise to society and industry to support the implementation of the energy transition to renewable gaseous fuels. Addressing fundamental challenges to stabilish renewable gases as a fuel source and energy vector is a vital step in striving towards environmental sustainability. By bridging the gab between end-user communities and acting as a central nucleus for measurement science activities, the EMN for Energy Gases will help to establish and facilitate a reliable, safe and diverse energy network.



www.euramet.org/energy-gases



ENERGY GASES

Service platform

A freely accessible online measurement service platform regrouping metrological services in the energy gases field is available on the website: www.euramet.org/european-metrology-networks/energy-gases/service The platform advertises all measurement and calibration services, including those developed in the EMRP and EMPIR Programmes and has for objective to help the industry to easily find the right measurement service or proficiency testing scheme.





EURAMET

ENERGY GASES





Choose what you want to see on the map:

Temperature

Gases

Hydrogen

H2NG

Biogas /

Biomethane LNG/LBG

Natural Gas

analysis

Interlaboratory

comparisons Speed of sound

Material data

Calorimetry

Gas Analysis

Temperature

20 Ergebnisse

Humidity

Flow

CRM

Material testing

Density (direct)

Sampling for gas







EURAMET

EMN Strategic Research Agenda



- Developed in collaboration with stakeholders and revised regularly
- Focused on measurement needs covering:
 - Energy gases value chain (natural gas, LNG and LBG, biogas and biomethane, hydrogen, energy carriers (e.g. NH₃))
 - CCUS (CO₂)
- Focus application areas
 - Decarbonising natural gas
 - Decarbonising industry
 - Energy transport and storage
 - Cleaner fuel for mobility
- Objective:
 - Collaborate with industry and other research parties
 - Facilitate new projects in Research & Innovation

Available at

https://www.euramet.org/european-metrology-networks/energy-gases/strategy/strategicresearch-agenda



European Metrological Research in Energy Gases – Portfolio of more than 20 projects





European Partnership on Metrology



- Metrology, the science of measurement, is a building block for an industrialised and increasingly globalised and digital society: Reliable measurements are essential for innovation in industry, research, trade and regulation
- Bring together the measurement science community and stakeholders to deliver on global challenges including health and climate, support the European Green Deal, and underpin innovation in industry through collaborative research
- Co-funded by Member States and the European Union
- https://www.metpart.eu





The Metrology Partnership call process





Example of cooperation with Hydrogen Europe and Hydrogen Europe Research associations





EURAME

MoU HE/HER/EURAMET signed in March 2023

- Organisation of annual brainstorming sessions
- Feed measurement needs into Potential Research Topics
- Support in Potential Research Topics applications

11

Support to Standardisation



 Contribution to the European Clean Hydrogen Alliance Standardisation Roadmap (published in March 2023)

Торіс	Measurement - challenge	Rationale
- Non-conventional gas injected in grid - H2NG	 Traceable standards for flow metering Determination of calorific value (and gas composition) Online measurement of hydrogen 	Injection of hydrogen and gases in variable amount/composition in the gas grid need to be monitored \rightarrow safety, trade and billing
Hydrogen for transport/mobility	High pressure mass flow metering for vehicles at HRS (light and heavy duty/maritime)	Comply with regulation (e.g. OIML R139)
Hydrogen quality along supply chain	 Inline hydrogen analyser/sensor with suitable high sensitivity for impurities Gas reference materials and intercomparisons Validated online analysers Validated sampling methods 	Guarantee safety, quality and sample integrity in offline/online measurement techniques to measure hydrogen quality and guarantee comparability → support to testing laboratories
Quality control and quality assurance (fuel cells, electrolysers, material compatibility)	 Novel measurement and modelling techniques for characterisation of performance Online techniques for real-time quality control of manufactured components 	Support to development of next generation materials for industry \rightarrow Innovation
Energy gases quality assurance (hydrogen, liquified hydrogen, LOHC)	 Validated temperature and pressure measuring equipment Validated flow meters 	Guarantee reliability and robustness of quantitative measurements along the hydrogen supply chain from import/production to end use → support to industry/trade/billing
Hydrogen leakage and release	 Detection/quantification/modelling Testing and modelling pipeline corrosion 	Prevent or monitor leaks for hydrogen manufacturing and transport/ storage and release in the atmosphere as indirect GHG gas. Understanding how pipeline materials behave with different gas composition/conditions, for safety and to prevent leaks
Hydrogen odorisation	Odorants measurement methods	Evaluate the impact of odorant in hydrogen applications (fuel cell/ pipeline transport)



European Clean Hydrogen Alliance

ROADMAP ON HYDROGEN STANDARDISATION

Example of R&D in support of standardisation:

H2FlowTrace project (2024)



in support of CEN TC234 and CEN TC237 request for pre-normative work

We need your help! Survey launched



- Goal: organise an online and/or on-site training on energy gases
- Define the training topics and priorities based on stakeholder needs:
 - Policy & Regulation, Standardisation, Metrology, etc.
 - Energy gases
 - Metrological aspects: high-accurate methods, calibration, traceability and uncertainty
- Link to the survey: https://www.euramet.org/european-metrologynetworks/emn-energy-gases-training-questionnaire-2024

EURAMET EMN FOR ENERGY GASES: TRAINING QUESTIONNAIRE

Introduction

This survey is essential for gathering insights from stakeholders to guide the development of a knowledge sharing programme for energy gases policies, regulations, and normative practices in Europe.

Your input will help the European Metrology Network (EMN) for Energy Gases address industry needs by shaping tailored metrological solutions that support decarbonisation efforts and enhance regulatory frameworks.

The European Metrology Network (EMN) for Energy Gases is dedicated to fostering collaboration among experts in gas analysis, flow measurements, and related fields. Together, we aim to develop metrological solutions precisely tailored to the energy gases sector, including renewable sources.

The deadline to fill in the survey is 26 April 2025.

If you have any questions or require assistance please contact: EnergyGases@euramet.org

Thank you for your collaboration.

EMN Energy Gases

Please share your level of interest by answering the questions below to help us in selecting the topics for a possible training course.

Question 1: Are you interested in receiving introductions to European and/or international policy in the field of energy transition?





Thank you! More info:

EnergyGases@euramet.org

a.baldan@vsl.nl

Website:

www.euramet.org/european-metrologynetworks/energy-gases/





ENERGY GASES



VSL

MET4H₂

ENERGY GASES





VSL

National Metrology Institute

Metrology Support for Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage

MetCCUS achievements after 2.5 years

Joint workshop EMN for Energy Gases Iris de Krom 26 March 2025 VSL Delft, the Netherlands





VSL Metrology support for CCUS

- 1 October 2022 30 September 2025
- 21 participants



"The project has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed by European Union Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and from the Participating States."















VSL CCUS measurement challenges



Flow metering



Chemical metrology



National Metrology Institute

08/04/2025

Emission monitoring



Physical properties



VSL Flow metering

Gas-flow

- Metrology infrastructure for monitoring CO₂ flow developed
 - < 50 m³/h and low pressure
 - Up to 400 m³/h and higher pressure
- Primary and transfer standards
 - Transferability tests
 - Intercomparison
 - Uncertainty <1.5 %

Liquid flow

08/04/2025

National Metrology Institute Report: Current state of the art of traceable liquid CO₂ flow measurement and liquid CO₂ primary standard requirements ->

CCS fiscal metering

Good practice guide







National Metrology

08/04/2025

L Emission monitoring



- Review requirements for monitoring pollutants in CO₂ in the emission from ducts and flues from carbon capture processes
 - Focus on pollutants from amine capture → gas matrix and methods for monitoring nitrosamines/amines have been identified → facilities have been developed to generate test matrices to test monitoring methods
- Measurement and quantification of CO₂ emissions from equipment and infrastructure
 - Leaks → fugitive emissions
 - Facility scale \rightarrow diffuse and fugitive emissions
- Detection and quantification of CO₂ emissions from geological storage
 - Isotopic measurements
 - Tracers Correlation method
 - − Use of acoustic techniques →





National Metrology Institute

08/04/2025

Chemical metrology

- Primary reference materials for impurities in CO₂
 - Literature review: commercially available cylinders for CCUS PRMs ->
 - Key impurities e.g.; H₂O, NO_x, sulphur compounds, hydrocarbons, alcohols and amines
 - Permanent gases: O₂, Ar, N₂, CH₄, CO, H₂
- Online CO₂ monitoring
 - Development and validation of online methods
 - Round Robin Test for the measurement of impurities in CO₂
- Offline analytical methods for CO₂ quality
 - CO₂ capture, transport and storage
 - CO_2 conversion, utilisation and recycling \rightarrow









VSL Chemical metrology – material compatibility for CO₂ sampling METCCUS

Component	Amount fraction	Restek	Restek	Calibrated Instruments Inc	Airborne Labs	
Literatur	e ^u rewiew Cu	rrent state-of-th	e-art of wessels for	Cali5Bond	True Blue 2LT	
Methanol	4-8	Stable at least 30 days (loss	Concentration decreases	25-35% loss D1, then stable	Concentration decreases wi	
	$g CO_2 \rightarrow$	< 20% after D50)	quickly with time			
•	10-15	Stable at least 30 days (loss	Concentration decreases	25-35% loss D1, then stable	Concentration decreases wi	
		< 20% after D50)	quickly with time			
Acetaldehyde	0.5	Stable at least D30			More than 20% loss D30	
	1	Stable at least D30			15% loss D30	
	<u>4-8</u>	Stable at least D30				
	<u>10-15</u>	Stable at least D30				
Ethanol	<u>4-8</u>	20-25% loss D50. Analysis	Concentration decreases		📴 🚺 🕒 🕒 🕒	
		before D10	quickly with time			
	10-15	20-25% loss D50. Analysis		35% lo:		
		before D10				
- ^{Acc} Řeport:	Expetiment	s to test the san	npling.tifting	Stable	50	
	<u>10-20</u>	Max 15% loss D50		Stable)50	
Benzene	0.3 - 2	Not compatible as benzene	Stable at least D4		D20 but rec	overy at D0
		adsorbs on the walls				
	7	Not compatible as benzene				
		adsorbs on the walls				
Hydrogen	Ca 2			100% loss D30. Analysis		
sulphide				before D5		
	Ca 10			50% loss D30. Analysis before		
Good pr	actice_quide	for the samplir	a of CO ₂ for CCU	S ^{D5}		
				35% loss D30. Analysis before		
				D5		
	Ca 40			20% loss D30		
	Ca 60			15% loss D30		
	Ca 100			Less than 10% loss D30		
8-4-2025						



Physical properties



solid • Experimental measurements CO_2 mixtures with MEA and DEA \rightarrow

bar

- Density, Speed of sound, Viscosity and Heat capacity
- Equation of state models relevant for CCUS processes and Flow metering

00

- EoS-CG 2019
- **GERG-2008**
- Monitoring CCUS infrastructures
 - **Corrosion testing** of CO₂ pipeline materials
 - Calibration method for online humidity sensors used in CCUS processes

200

Online measurement equipment for impurities in CO₂





liquid

250

supercritic fluid

350

400

critical point

qas

300

temperature

I (K)

VSL Impact MetCCUS

- Development of
 - Primary standards and reference materials
 - Calibration and measurement methods
 - Good practice guides → <u>www.metccus.eu</u>
 - Literature reviews & peer reviewed articles → <u>www.metccus.eu</u>

Support

- Development of key documentary standards, specifications and regulation
 - CEN/TC 474 & ISO/TC 265
 - EU Emissions Trading System
- Safe and efficient CCUS operation
- Industry to become carbon neutral and overcome climate change



Thank you for your attention

- Visit
 - <u>www.metccus.eu</u>
 - MetCCUS: Overview | LinkedIn

Contact
 Project coordinator
 Iris de Krom
 idekrom@vsl.nl



995.28



Rod Robinson, NPL













Update on emissions activities in METCCUS

Workshop 26 March 2025 Rod Robinson,

WP2 Lead





Rod.Robinson@npl.co.uk





- The aim of this work package is to provide the metrological support needed to enable the measurement and reporting of emissions to air from different stages of the CCUS process
 - Partners:

WP Lead

Partners



NPLO

Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt Nationales Metrologieinstitut





Monitoring the CCUS System





WP2 Metrological support for the measurement and reporting of CO_2 emissions to air



Three tasks

- Task 2.1 will develop novel methods to determine emissions of CO₂ and pollutants including amines/nitrosamines to the atmosphere from carbon capture processes. (NPL, FORCE, PTB)
- Task 2.2 will develop the metrological capability needed for the measurement and quantification of emissions of CO₂ from CCUS equipment and infrastructure. (NOVA,NPL,FORCE,SINTEF, GERG)
- Task 2.3 will assess the potential approaches needed to enable the detection and quantification of emissions of CO₂ into the environment from geological storage. (**PTB**,**NPL**,**VTT**,GERG,NOVA)

D3	Good practice guide for the measurement of nitrosamines in post-combustion flue gas in order to enable the direct determination of emissions of CO_2 and to address the measurement of air pollutants resulting from the capture process, such as degradation products from capture solvents	Good practice guide	NPL, Force	Jul 2025 (M34)
D4	Report on the options for the measurement and reporting of emissions to air from different stages of the CCUS process and the performance and capabilities of techniques to monitor emissions into the environment through carbon capture processes, infrastructure (leaks), or geological storage	Report	PTB, VTT, NPL, NOVA, GERG	Sep 2025 (M36)

Carbon capture

- There are three main approaches to capture CO₂ from combustion processes
- Post combustion
 - Retrofittable

•

• Usually amine based capture



- Pre-combustion carbon capture
 - Gasification of fossil fuel,
 - Generally linked to hydrogen production
- Oxy-fuel combustion
 - Combustion in oxygen
 - Nearly pure CO2 exhaust gas easier to capture



Potential methods for nitrosamines Manual Methods

- Proposed methods
 - Sorbent trap
 - Thermosorb dilution to dry sample



Wet chemistry based on EN14791 sulfamic acid





Proposed list of relevant compounds



Amines and Nitrosamines identified in CCUS (Pilot plants and lab-scale tests)

- Identified comprehensive list of compounds (which includes the regulators priority list)
- Classification in operationally defined groups attending their volatility (indicated by the vapour pressure)
- Identified potential list of standard reference material covering the range of interest (representative species)



Amines/Nitrosamines test bench at NPL

Test bench, cooled liquid impingers and dry cartridges



- Testing at lab scale
- Controlled conditions and amine/nitrosamine concentrations
- Certified gas simulated media
- Safe isokinetic sampling



Measurement of emissions of CO₂



- · Sources similar in a CCUS system as in the natural gas system
 - Fugitive leaks from components/seals/etc
 - LDAR programmes, optical gas imagining (OGI)
 - Process related vents/releases
 - Lower emission designs, OGI
 - Maintenance and repair operations
 - Engineering, design
- Measurement requirements
 - LDAR leak detection and repair
 - Source level quantification
 - Site/area scale quantification
- CCUS specific issues
 - Requirements very challenging to meet CCS Directive
 - Ambient background levels of CO2
 - Dispersion characteristics
 - Phase of contained fluid
 - Similar techniques to methane are available


Extend measurement capabilities



- Extend hi-flow
- In internal project also looking at OGI and NPL FEDS
- In EMPIR Decarb extending NPL DIAL to CO₂ sample sample











METROVAC

- NOVA produced and delivered three calibrated orifices to NPL, to simulate leak rates between 0,01 and 1 scc/s.
- Calibration results between NOVA and NPL were consistent.





The calibrated orifice that presented more consisting results was sent to PTB in order to validate the leak rates on their diffuse leak system.









Figure 3: Equipment set up during validation.

Leak Quantification

Developing a method for quantifying CO₂ leaks from individual components based on the hi-flow technology for leak quantification

- Utilise NPL's hi-flow instrument adapted with a CO_2 sensor on the exhaust port & validate d CO_2 release with the CRF
- 3-point linearity performed on AERIS MIRA CO2/N2O analyser, range 400 ppm to 2.5% CO2
- Controlled release validation with AERIS instrument mounted to hi-flow









- Determining uncertainty
 - Assessed flow uncertainty of hi-flow approach





NPL controlled release capabilities

- Range of configurable systems able to replicate various emission scenarios
- Adapted to address CO₂ emissions
- Traceable mass emissions
- Different leak types
- Challenge different measurement technologies and needs
- Provide
 - Method validation and performance data
 - Support for new techniques
 - Training
 - PT schemes









MetCCUS, Subsea leakage from storage

- NPL review of acoustic techniques
- NPL report AC21 published
- reviews physics of sound-bubble interaction, active and passive techniques, and existing offshore projects
- Active and passive acoustic techniques
- passive uses only hydrophones to listen for leaks
- active insonifies target and detects sound scattered by bubbles
- Review of existing in-situ offshore projects
- ECO2 (2011-2015)
- QICS (2012)
- STEMMS-CCS (2016-2020)
- Knowledge gaps
- re-evaluation of existing datasets using new models
- better understanding of depth dependence
- Greensands new active acoustics project (Denmark)









Update on isotopic detection



• Lab testing

 measurement set-up assembled,
 instrument tested in a climate chamber in preparation for open air measurements

 \circ auto sampler tested.



Overview of PTB's activities



- Field test site: components for the open air testing facility
- Linear surface level emissions
- Measurement campaign, measurements with VTT and PTB instruments





Isotopic measurements

- VTT deploy Isomed to measure stable isotopes at 100% of CO₂. PTB use their Picarro to measure isotopes at atmospheric level of CO₂.
- Modified Isomed (measuring at 100% of CO₂), stability etc. some basic functionality tests.
 Simulated leak test at "field experiments" at PTB.
- VTT will monitor delta value of the released CO₂ (at 100% level). PTB will use their Picarro assess how big the leak has to be in order to detect it with Picarro.



24/03/2025





 METCCUS Emissions work delivering a suite of outputs to support monitoring emissions from CCUS

- Pollutant emissions from capture plant
 - Nitrosamine measurement method
 - Review of direct monitoring approaches
- CO₂ emission from infrastructure
 - Leak detection merhos assessment
 - Leak quantification method development
 - Site level quantification assessment of tracer approach
- Emissions from storage
 - Review of sub sea methods
 - Potential for use of isotopic methods to detect emission from storage

993.28



Initial results from field assessment of the Tracer Corelation method for determining site level CO₂ emissions

Jacob Monster, FORCE Technology Fabrizio Innocenti, NPL











Tracer correlation method







- Often used for large (area), diffuse sources
- Can also be used smaller (area) sources

- Tracer gas with long atmospheric lifetime
- Good/stable wind & road conditions
- Sensitive analytical instrument
- No interfering sources

Tracer correlation method, application





Examples of application:

- Methane from landfills
- Methane from biogas production
- Nitrous oxide from waste water treatment
- Methane from extraction/handling natural gas
- CO₂ from CCUS?

CO₂ and tracer correlation method

First tests and results:

Waste water treatment, aeration tanks (CO₂ strip off)

Measurement road (red)





Good correlation between CO_2 , N_2O and tracer (C_2H_2)

CO2 "noise" observed





CO₂ and tracer correlation method





CO₂ "noise" observed







CEDAR Agricultural

Campaign

November 2024



Centre for Dairy Research

- Just south of Reading.
- Part of The University of Reading.
- Lots of fields, multiple barns, several hundred cows.
- Conducts their own research into emissions, sustainability etc.



Controlled Release Set-up







CO₂ Releases







 $3\ CO_2$ releases of ~ 1 hour and $\frac{1}{2}$ each with release rates from ~15 kg/h to ~ 25 kg/h

Co-release af N_2O Acetylene released a few meters away



Results from the campaign







Results from the campaign







Results from the campaign





Conclussion:

With the conditions in Reading and the current instrumentation:

- Measurements need to be performed (much) closer to the source and/or
- The diffuse emission must be larger emission rate (> 100 kg/h) and/or
- Measurements need to be performed at very stable atmospheric conditions (e.g. at night)
- Measurements should be performed without traffic
- EV an advantage (or UAV)
- At optimized conditions: detection limit approx. 10 kg/h (maybe less)
- At Reading conditions: detection limit approx. 100 kg/h
- Limiting factor: concentration resolution of CO₂ instrumentation

Extra info:

FORCE measurement car emits approx. 30.000 x more CO₂ than N₂O (GWP approx. 300)





Ara Abdulrahman, VSL













CCUS METERING TECHNOLOGIES AND TRANSFERABILITY

ARA ABDULRAHMAN

MARCH 26, 2025

The project has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed by European Union Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and from the Participating States.



OVERVIEW

- Flow Measurement along the CCUS chain
- Accuracy Requirements
- Flow metering technologies and transferability
- Results and achievements in MetCCUS



FLOW MEASUREMENT ALONG THE CCUS CHAIN



METCUS

- Between the emitter and the capture plant inlet
- At the transportation and storage network onshore entry point
- At the shore facility before entering the offshore transportation and storage network (it can be upstream or
- downstream of compression/pumping station)
- At the offshore platform topside
- At the injection point into geological storage
- Temporary storage tanks along the transport network (not shown in this figure)
- At the shore terminal for ship loading and off-loading
- Tie back from another offshore platform and/or another geological storage (see diagrams (c) and (d))

ACCURACY REQUIREMENTS

EU ETS 2018/2066 & 2018/2067:

2.5% on mass

Based on CEMS, less suitable for large scale CCUS

UK/Norway (ETS), Canada and Australia:

1.5% vol

ISO: No explicit statements on accuracy requirements for CO₂

OIML R117 & R137:

- Liquid CO₂: accuracy class 1.5 (1% meter)
- Gas CO₂: meter should be qualified on gas type of application

NIST Handbook 44:

• Liquid CO₂: accuracy class 2.5 (1.5% meter)



CORIOLIS METERS

- Direct mass flow measurement (mass balance)
- Inline density measurement (Indirect volume flow) diagnostics
- Accuracy on mass as low as 0.25% (gases) and 0.05% (water)
- Always measuring → zero-flow, mounting and pipe support (zero procedure)
- Negligible installation effects, no conditioning required







CORIOLIS METER TRANSFERABILITY

- Pressure Effect (as high as 0.02%/bar)
- Temperature Effect
- Viscosity Effect
- Speed of Sound Effect (larger sizes and frequency dependent)
- Effects are published by manufacturers
- Reynolds number dependency
- Limited testing against dP meter has shown promising transferability between water and Liquid CO₂









ULTRASONIC METERS

- Measures fluid velocity throughout pipe cross section
- Volume flow measurement → Mass flowrate through density
- Inline Speed of Sound measurement diagnostics
- Always measuring → zero-flow
- No obstruction or moving parts \rightarrow Low ΔP
- Many D's upstream pipe length required if no conditioning is used
- Minimum pipe size 4" due to $\Delta {\rm T}$

$Q = \frac{\pi}{4} D_{I}^{2} \cdot \frac{L}{2\cos\alpha} \cdot \frac{t_{BA} - t_{AB}}{t_{AB} \cdot t_{BA}}$





ULTRASONIC METER TRANSFERABILITY

- Reynolds number dependency
- Pressure, Temperature, Viscosity Effects
- Difference in sound attenuation between fluids
- Composition and Equation of State very important for correct settings









TURBINE METERS



- Common in oil and gas industry with lots of experience
- They measure volume flowrate → Composition and EoS become very important for mass flow measurement
- Accuracy around 1% have been claimed, not verified with traceable CO₂ reference
- Reynolds number dependency vs. flowrate
- Transferability also possible using the PTB turbine meter model
- One phase meter, large risk of mechanical failure in 2 phase
- Typically require 10D upstream pipe length if no conditioning is used

DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE METERS



- Orifice Plates are the most common type of DP meters
- Differential pressure measurement across orifice plate
 Mass and volume flowrate through density (composition, pressure and temperature)
- Very dependent on composition measurement and Equation of State
- Discharge coefficient and flow calculated with ISO 5167 standard
- Accuracy around 1% have been claimed, not verified with CO₂
- Reynolds number dependency with Discharge Coefficient
- Always measuring → zero-flow
- Large obstruction \rightarrow High $\Delta P \rightarrow$ Possible pressure drop induced phase change
- Many D's upstream pipe length required if no conditioning is used

ACHIEVEMENTS IN METCCUS

- Established SI-traceable primary standards for high and low pressure CO₂ gas flow
- Investigate transferability of several flow metering technologies to Natural Gas and Nitrogen Calibrations
- Interlaboratory comparisons to determine the equivalency between the laboratories





THANKYOU!



AABDULRAHMAN@VSL.NL

HTTPS://METCCUS.EU/




Development of traceable metrology for gas quality assurance for CCUs industry

Nityashree Nagesh, NPL













Development of traceable metrology for gas quality assurance for CCUS industry

Nityashree Nagesh, Manohara G. V. and Josh Hamilton

National Physical Laboratory, Hampton Road, Teddington, TW11 0LW, United Kingdom



Image: https://blog.se.com/sustainability/2020/12/21/carbon-capture-utilizationand-storage-ccus-what-we-need-to-know/ (accessed 20/3/24)

Outline



CCUS Measurement Challenges and Importance of CO₂ Quality

Building CO₂ Purity Infrastructure

Metrology Development for Trace-Level Reactive Impurities in CO₂

PRMs and Methods developed under MetCCUS Project

Metrology Development for Dense Phase CO₂

Performance Testing of Carbon Capture Materials



CCUS Measurement Challenges

NPL



CO₂ Specification across CCUS Value Chain NPL



Importance of CO₂ Quality for CCUS **NPL**



NPL have provided recommendations on CO₂ purity for CCS processes:

	Rationale	Pipeline		Storage	
			Saline reservoir sequestration	Unmineable coal seams	Oil and gas recovery
H_2O^a	Reacts with sulphur to produce sulphuric acid which is corrosive		300 µmol mol ⁻¹	300 µmol mol ⁻¹	300 µmol mol ⁻¹
H ₂ S ^b	Toxic		5 µmol mol-1	5 µmol mol ⁻¹	5 µmol mol ⁻¹
CO ^b	Toxic		20 µmol mol ⁻¹	20 µmol mol ⁻¹	20 µmol mol ⁻¹
O ₂	Non-condensable gas, thinning of pipeline, reacts with hydrocarbons, enhances growth of aerobic bacteria		4 cmol mol ⁻¹	4 cmol mol ⁻¹	100 μmol mol ⁻¹
CH4 ^c	Non-condensable gas, pipeline ductility issues, flammable		4 cmol mol ⁻¹	4 cmol mol ⁻¹	1 cmol mol ⁻¹
N ₂ ^c	Non-condensable gas		4 cmol mol ⁻¹	4 cmol mol ⁻¹	1 cmol mol ⁻¹
Ar ^c	Non-condensable gas		4 cmol mol ⁻¹	4 cmol mol ⁻¹	1 cmol mol ⁻¹
H ₂ ^c	Non-condensable gas, lower recovery of oil	Use same	4 cmol mol ⁻¹	4 cmol mol ⁻¹	1 cmol mol ⁻¹
SO _x ^b	Toxic	limits as	0.5 μmol mol ⁻¹	0.5 µmol mol ⁻¹	0.5 μmol mol ⁻¹
NOxb	Toxic	storage	0.5 μmol mol ⁻¹	0.5 µmol mol ⁻¹	0.5 μmol mol ⁻¹
NH3 ^b	Toxic	method	25 μmol mol ⁻¹	25 µmol mol-1	25 µmol mol ⁻¹
C ₂ H ₆	Flammable, might cause asphyxiation at high temperatures		1 cmol mol ⁻¹	1 cmol mol ⁻¹	1 cmol mol ⁻¹
23⁺	Flammable, might cause asphyxiation at high temperatures		1 cmol mol ⁻¹	1 cmol mol ⁻¹	1 cmol mol ⁻¹
Particulates	Not specified		1 µmol mol-1	1 µmol mol ⁻¹	1 μmol mol ⁻¹
HCI ^b	Toxic		1 µmol mol-1	1 µmol mol-1	1 µmol mol-1
HF ^b	Toxic		1.8 μmol mol ⁻¹	1.8 µmol mol ⁻¹	1.8 μmol mol ⁻¹
HCN♭	Toxic		0.9 µmol mol ⁻¹	0.9 µmol mol ⁻¹	0.9 µmol mol ⁻¹
Hg⁵	Toxic		0.02 mg m ⁻³	0.02 mg m ⁻³	0.02 mg m ⁻³
Glycol	Damage to seals and other components		46 nmol mol ⁻¹	46 nmol mol ⁻¹	46 nmol mol ⁻¹
MEA ^b	Toxic		1 µmol mol ⁻¹	1 µmol mol ⁻¹	1 µmol mol ⁻¹

Purity requirements of carbon dioxide for carbon capture and storage, A. Murugan, et al., npl REPORT CSSC 0001



Building CO₂ Purity Infrastructure

Traceable PRMs and Accurate Purity Analysis Methods

Preparation of Primary Reference Materials (PRMs)



- □ PRMs are prepared gravimetrically following ISO 6142-1:2015
- Traceable to SI
- PRMs ensure measurements are traceable and accurate



Development of Accurate Analytical Methods

NPLØ



- □ Traceable PRMs will be employed for method development
- □ Various analytical parameters will be assessed:
 - LOD/LOQ
 - Linearity & working range
 - Trueness
 - Selectivity & interferences
 - Precision: Repeatability & intermediate precision
 - Robustness
- Develop uncertainty budget: Following GUM
- Method validation according to ISO/IEC 17025



Metrology Development for Trace-level Reactive Impurities in CO₂

Preparation and Validation of SO₂ in CO₂ PRMs





PRM Hierarchy for SO₂ in CO₂ mixtures

SO ₂ in CO ₂ Acquisition Method (GC-SCD)						
Method Parameter	Details					
Gas Chromatograph	Agilent 8890 (G3545A)					
Model						
Detector Model	Agilent 8255 Sulfur					
	Chemiluminescence					
	Detector					
Column	Agilent 19095Z-626:HP-1					
Carrier Gas	Не					
Oven Temperature	30 °C					

	Set 1			Set 2	
PRM	Gravimetric Amount Fraction of SO ₂ (μmol/mol)	Gravimetric Uncertainty (%, <i>k=2</i>)	PRM	Gravimetric Amount Fraction of SO ₂ (μmol/mol)	Gravimetric Uncertainty (%, <i>k=2</i>)
D180420	27967.66	0.138	D180421	27295.16	0.142
D180724	999.45	0.228	D049952	916.79	0.246
D180717	100.09	0.232	D180719	100.20	0.250
D180698	50.04	0.246	D180720	50.058	0.260
D180493	10.00	0.550	D180435	9.19	0.154
D180428	1.00	0.298	D180362	1.01	0.358
D180324	0.50	0.550	D180487	0.50	0.532

Gravimetric amount fractions with combined uncertainty (k=2) of SO₂ in the PRMs

	D180698	D180720	D180428	D180362
Gravimetric Amount Fraction of SO ₂ (μmol/mol)	50.04	50.06	1.00	1.01
Analytical Amount Fraction of SO ₂ (μmol/mol)	49.67	50.81	0.88	1.14
Expanded combined uncertainty (%, k=2)	2.90	2.92	3.64	3.47

Validation results of 1 µmol/mol and 50 µmol/mol SO₂ in CO₂ PRMs Commercial Project (2024-2025)

Method Parameters for SO₂ Quantification



Similarly, traceable PRMs & accurate methods developed for supporting analysis of

- NO (0.5 to 500 µmol/mol) GC-NCD
- NO₂ (1.0 to 250 µmol/mol) → GC-NCD
- H₂O (1.0 to 500 µmol/mol) → QMA
- H₂S (0.02 to 100 µmol/mol) → GC-SCD

• Extending PRMs preparation and Analysis Methods to multicomponent mixtures



PRMs and Methods Developed under MetCCUS Project

MetCCUS Project (2022-2025)

 \bigcirc

MetCCUS Project Updates WP3- A3.1.3 Binary PRMs

Validation of DMS in CO₂

- Validation of 1 µmol/mol DMS in CO₂ was carried out 3 times on a GC-SCD using a calibration curve of DMS in CO₂ standards from 10-0.5 µmol/mol
- DMS amount fraction remains stable after 6, 12, and 18 months

on u/c, <i>k</i> =2 nol) (μmol/mol)
0.018
0.018
0.004
3 0.004
3 0.004



Validation results of 1 μ mol/mol of DMS in CO₂ PRMs and stability studies

D610430	Validation 1	Validation 2	Validation 3	Robustness (24 ml/min)	6 month stability	Robustness (36 ml/min)	12 month stability	18 month stability
Analytical amount fraction (µmol/mol)	1.04	1.00	1.06	1.07	1.04	1.06	1.05	1.02
Analytical incertainty, <i>k</i> =2 (%)	3.91	4.55	5.23	5.28	7.71	3.20	2.62	3.85



MetCCUS Project (2022-2025)

MetCCUS Project Updates WP3- A3.1.3 – Binary PRMs

Validation of EtOH in CO₂

Mixture type

Standard

Standard

Standard

Unknown

Standard

Cylinder ID

D049959

D180567

D180254

D050229

D180264

- Validation of 20 µmol/mol EtOH in CO₂ was carried out 4 times on a GC-MS/FID using a calibration curve of EtOH in CO₂ standards from 1000-5 µmol/mol
- EtOH amount fraction remains stable after 6, 12 months
 Gravimetric

amount

fraction

umol/mol`

1002

250

50

20.0

4.5

Gravimetric

u/c, *k*=2

(µmol/mol)

0.24

0.07

0.05

0.04

0.01

Validation results of 20 μ mol/mol of EtOH in CO₂ PRMs and stability studies

D050229	Validation 1	Validation 2	Validation 3	Robustness (15 ml/min)	Validation 4	Robustness (45 ml/min)	6 month stability	12 month stability
Analytical amount fraction (µmol/mol)	19.88	19.80	20.05	19.64	19.84	19.76	19.94	19.69
Analytical uncertainty, <i>k</i> =2 (%)	1.76	2.32	2.33	1.69	2.26	1.88	3.12	1.99

Gravimetric amount fractions with combined uncertainty (*k*=2) of EtOH







Other mixtures and methods developed under MetCCUS project – WP3

	Mixture 1			Mixture 2			Mixture 3
Participants	Impurity	Amount fraction	Cylinder type	Impurity	Amount fraction	Cylinder type	Impurity
VSL	H ₂ O	10 ppm	Aculife IV/-	NO ₂	1 ppm	Alphatech /-	SO ₂ , ≤20 ppm
NPL	C ₂ H ₅ OH	≤20 ppm	Spectra- seal	DMS	≤1 ppm	Spectra-seal	-
СМІ	NO ₂	≤ 100 ppm	AL/ Aculife	N ₂ O	≤ 10 ppm	AL/ Aculife	-
IPQ	H ₂ S	10 ppm	Aculife III/ IV	SO ₂	≤20 ppm	Aculife III/ IV	-



Metrology Development for dense phase CO₂

Can gas phase metrology can be extended to dense phase CO₂? NPL 💿



- CO₂ shows anomalous properties as supercritical fluid.
- Pressure variation studies effect on the analysed impurities in CO₂

Commercial Project (2024-2025) Ongoing work under NMS (2024-2029)

NPL Current Capability



Component	ISO 27913		UK CCUS projects specifications Summary			NPL measurement capability		
Component	Units	Limit	Units	Limit (Min)	Limit (Max)	Units	Lower limit	Upper limit
CO ₂	mol%	≥ 95.0						
N ₂ (1)	mol%	4	mol%	1	4	mol%	0.03	4
H ₂ (1)	mol%	1	mol%	0.05	2	mol%	0.04	2.0
Ar (1)	mol%	4	mol%	1	4	mol%	0.005	4
CO (1)	mol%	0.2	mol%	0.01	0.2	mol%	0.0003	0.1
Methane (1)	mol%	4	mol%	1	4	mol%	0.023	4
Ethane (1)	mol%	4	mol%	1	4	mol%	0.005	0.5
Due your 2 Other Alighetic Undue southers (2)			···· = 10/	0.15	2	10/	0.005	0.01
Propane & Other Aliphatic Hydrocarbons (2)	mol%	0.15 in total	moi‰	0.15	2	mol%	0.005	0.01
H ₂ O	ppm mol	50	ppm mol	20	50	ppm mol	1	500
0 ₂	ppm mol	10	ppm mol	10	20	ppm mol	5	1000
NOx (NO, NO ₂) (3)	ppm mol	10	ppm mol	1	100	ppm mol	0.5	500
SOx (SO, SO ₂ , SO ₃) (4)	ppm mol	10	ppm mol	0.1	50	ppm mol	0.5	100
H₂S	ppm mol	5	ppm mol	5	20	ppm mol	0.02	100
COS	ppm mol	100	ppm mol	0.02	10	ppm mol	0.01	100
CS ₂	ppm mol	20	ppm mol	0.2	10			
NH₃	ppm mol	10	ppm mol	10	1500	ppm mol	10	1000
BTEX (5)	ppm mol	15 in total	ppm mol	0.001	50	ppm mol	1.4	80
Methanol	ppm mol	350	ppm mol	10	500	ppm mol	50	350
VOCs (8) - DMS	mg/Nm ³	48 in total	ppm mol	20	60	ppm mol	0.5	10
VOCs (8) - Ethanol	mg/Nm ³	48 in total	ppm mol	20	60	ppm mol	4.5	1000
Amines (10,11)	ppb mol	100 in total	ppm mol	0.08	10			
Nitrosamines and Nitramines (13)	μg/Nm³	3 in total	µg/Nm³	0.1	5			
Naphthalene (14)	ppb mol	100	ppb mol	5	250			

NPL measurement capability covers ISO 27913 threshold limit

NPL measurement capability exists but doesn't cover ISO 27913 threshold limit. Additional work required to extend working range

NPL measurement capability development in progress 25/26

Performance Testing of Carbon Capture Materials



Assessment Criteria

NPLO

- CO₂ capture efficiency
- Degradation products
- Material stability
- CO₂ purity

- Flue gas PRMs
- Connect up to 4 cylinders
- Humidity generator

- Ambient to 1000°C
- Ambient to 200 bar
- 25 mg 100 g samplesTemp/pressure ramp
- cycles
- Interchangeable catalyst cartridge

Materials Testing Platform

Method Development for liquid solvent testing





High pressure reactor vessel with a temperature controller and stirrer

CO₂ absorption capacity for 3M and 5M MEA solution on interaction with NPL PRM.

- Method development and uncertainty budget calculations.
- Advanced industrial solvents are being tested.
- Effect of impurities on capture capacity and stability is assessed.

Work funded through NMSCCUS Net-Zero Uplift project (2022-2025)

Conclusions



- □ Supporting CCUS industry by Developing Traceable Metrology for CO₂ Gas Quality and by offering;
- ✓ Bespoke Primary Reference Materials (PRMs)
- ✓ Instrument Calibration
- \checkmark Sampling and Purity Analysis of CO₂
- \checkmark Assessment/Benchmarking of Capture solvents/sorbents for efficiency, stability and CO₂ purity.
- ✓ Qualify and quantify degradation products/impurities specific to a CCUS technology.
- ✓ Proficiency Testing

Research Funded by...





The EMPIR initiative is co-funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and the EMPIR Participating States



Department for Science, Innovati & Technology













Thank you for your attention

Any Questions?



Department for Science, Innovation & Technology

The National Physical Laboratory is operated by NPL Management Ltd, a wholly-owned company of the Department for Science, Innovation & Technology (DSIT).





National Physical Laboratory

npl.co.uk

© NPL Management Limited, 2023

993.28

Simulation of carbon capture using MEA and MDEA: Sensitivity analysis and cost estimation

Solmaz Nadiri, PTB













Simulation of carbon capture using MEA and MDEA:

Sensitivity analysis and cost estimation

MetCCUS, 26th March 2025 Solmaz Nadiri



Where simulation meets measurement in CCUS

- \checkmark Even the best simulation relies on accurate input data.
- ✓ Metrology ensures the simulation reflects reality.





Solvents



	Monoethanolamine (MEA)	Methyl diethanolamine (MDEA) HOOH
Boiling Point (°C)	~170°C	~247°C
Viscosity (solution)	High	Low
CO ₂ Capacity	(~0.4–0.5 mol CO ₂ /mol amine)	(~1 mol CO ₂ /mol amine)
Reaction Rate	Very fast	Very slow
Heat of Reaction with CO ₂	~85 kJ/mol CO ₂	~65 kJ/mol CO ₂
Corrosiveness	High	Low
Thermal Stability	Low	High
Solvent Cost	Low	High
Regeneration Energy	High	Low

Simulation Setup



	MEA	MDEA		
Flue gas	72 kg/hr CO ₂ : 8.5 %wt H ₂ O: 7.1 %wt N ₂ & O ₂ : 84.4 %wt			
Property method	ENRTL-RK			
Solvent total flow	201.3 kg/hr	499.42 kg/hr		
Solvent concentrations	27.5 %wt	40 %wt		
Absorber	D=0.125 m, H=4.62 m	D=0.2 m, H=20.42 m		
Stripper	D=0.125 m, H=2.92 m	D=0.125 m, H=3.42 m		

Process Flow Diagram

FLEXIPAC structured packing





MDEA and MEA comparison



	MEA	MDEA
% CO ₂ removal	72.6	69
Reboiler heat duty [kW]	7.05	6.75
Electricity [kW]	61.5	52.4
Cooling Water [m ³ /h]	0.012	0.015
Steam @100PSI* [kg/h]	12.27	11.75

*100 PSI ≅ 6.9 bar

Impact of measurement uncertainty on CO₂ Capture Efficiency



✤ A ±5% error in CO₂ concentration measurement can cause a ±3% shift in calculated removal efficiency.

- This could lead to incorrect decisions in:
- Process optimization
- Economic evaluation
- Regulatory reporting
- Lifecycle assessments



Effect of Flue Gas Flowrate on CO₂ Recovery

- As flue gas flow increases, CO₂ recovery declines for both solvents due to reduced contact time.
- MDEA's performance drops off faster than MEA, showing lower absorption capacity at high gas loads.
- Useful for designing systems under fluctuating flue gas loads.
- Highlights importance of precise flue gas metering and flow measurement.



Mass flow of Flue Gas [kg/h]



Effect of **Stripper Pressure** on CO₂ Recovery

- CO₂ recovery for MEA remains stable until a sudden jump, likely due to improved driving force.
- MDEA responds more linearly but stays less efficient overall.





CO₂ Recovery vs. Reboiler Duty



- MEA achieves high recovery with less energy increase, making it more efficient up to ~7.2 kW.
- MDEA's higher regeneration energy yields higher CO₂ capture only at high duty.



Impact of Lean Solvent Temperature on CO₂ Recovery and Cooling Duty




Conclusion and Outlook:

- MEA performs better in terms of CO₂ recovery, especially at low flue gas flowrates and moderate energy input.
- MDEA is more energy-efficient at higher duties but is sensitive to temperature and less effective at low CO₂ partial pressures.
- Sensitivity analysis shows that: Small changes in operating parameters (like pressure, flowrate, temperature) can significantly affect CO₂ capture performance.
- Accurate measurements of CO₂ concentrations, flowrates, and temperatures are crucial, even small errors (±5%) can distort key performance indicators.
- Q Metrology Insight:
- Reliable CCUS system design depends on trusted data inputs, and this is where metrology makes the invisible impact visible.
- Outlook:
- Integrate uncertainty quantification into simulations.
- Use simulation as a tool to guide measurement priorities.
- Strengthen collaboration between process modeling and measurement science in future MetCCUS work.

Thank you for your attention!





MET4H₂

ENERGY GASES





METCCUS

A PRIMARY STANDARD HUMIDITY GENERATOR FOR THE CALIBRATION OF HYGROMETERS IN CARBON DIOXIDE

PAUL CARROLL - NPL

METROLOGY SUPPORT FOR CARBON CAPTURE UTILISATION AND STORAGE

The project has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed by European Union Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and from the Participating States.



A PRIMARY STANDARD HUMIDITY GENERATOR FOR THE CALIBRATION OF HYGROMETERS IN CARBON DIOXIDE



- The need for humidity calibration capabilities in carbon dioxide.
- Adaptation of existing humidity generator to be compatible with CO₂ operation.
- Primary traceability to dew-point temperature units (°C) via reference PRT calibrated against NPL Temperature Standards.
- Example of first trial single-pressure dew-point temperature calibration.
- Further trial calibration of in-house water vapour spectrometer also performed.
- Discussion on conversion of reference value to other humidity units e.g amount fraction of water vapour.



THE NEED FOR HUMIDITY CALIBRATION CAPABILITIES IN CARBON DIOXIDE

- In carbon capture usage and storage (CCUS), monitoring of the quality of carbon dioxide (CO₂) is important during transportation, processing and storage.
- Water vapour is particularly important in order to prevent corrosion of system pipework and components.
- Traceable humidity measurements of suitable accuracy required to ensure that regulatory limits are not exceeded.





THE NEED FOR HUMIDITY CALIBRATION CAPABILITIES IN CARBON DIOXIDE

- The provision of primary dew-point calibration standards for air at atmospheric pressure is well established.
- But hygrometers are used industrially in CCUS applications to make humidity measurements of CO₂ at a wide range of pressures.
- Some hygrometer types are affected by the background gas species or pressure -need to calibrate hygrometers in the conditions of use.
- To address this, a capability for humidity calibration in CO₂ has been developed at the UK National Physical Laboratory.







ADAPTATION AND VALIDATION OF AN EXISTING PRIMARY HUMIDITY STANDARD



- Thunder 3900 Low Humidity Generation System successfully adapted for use with CO₂.
- Primary traceability to dew-point temperature units (°C) via reference PRT calibrated against NPL Temperature Standards.
- Frost-point temperature range -60 °C to -10 °C
- Water vapour amount fraction range 10 μmol·mol⁻¹ to 0.25 %







A Calibration Facility for Dew Point in Air up to 1 MPa

P. A. Carroll¹ \cdot S. A. Bell¹ \cdot M. Stevens²

Identification Number/DOI: 10.1007/s10765-015-1984-2



ADAPTATION AND VALIDATION OF AN EXISTING PRIMARY HUMIDITY STANDARD

METCCUS

Adaptation details:

- Confirmed with manufacturer compatibility of existing components with CO₂
- Modified dry gas bleed pipework connections of generator so that CO₂ not venting into laboratory.
- Added exhaust lines routed to extraction to avoid risk of build-up of CO₂ in the laboratory.





ADAPTATION AND VALIDATION OF AN EXISTING PRIMARY HUMIDITY STANDARD



Validation details. Uncertainty components evaluated for:

- Reference temperature measurement effects: PRT calibration, self-heating, drift, resistance bridge
- Temperature gradient profiling of fluid bath surrounding saturator
- Temperature conditioning of test gas
- Saturator efficiency
- Pressure effects
- Contamination, desorption
- Vapour pressure and water vapour enhancement factor
- Unit under test contributions





FIRST TRIAL DEW-POINT TEMPERATURE CALIBRATION OF A HYGROMETER



• Single-pressure dew-point temperature calibration of Michell Optidew chilled-mirror hygrometer





FIRST TRIAL DEW-POINT TEMPERATURE CALIBRATION OF A HYGROMETER IN CO_2



- Test report for Michell Optidew instrument with calibration data in the range -30 °C to -5 °C Frost-point Temperature.
- Key Exploitable Result in MetCCUS (A4.3.5)
- Will be available to download from project website.





FIRST TRIAL DEW-POINT TEMPERATURE CALIBRATION OF A HYGROMETER IN CO_2



Recent testing shows other instrument types can also:

- Significantly switch to under-reading in CO₂ compared to air.
- Or remain unaffected by background gas species change





FURTHER TRIAL CALIBRATION IN CO_2 OF IN-HOUSE WATER VAPOUR SPECTROMETER

- Further trial calibration of water vapour spectrometer has also been completed in range 20 $\mu mol~mol^{-1}$ to 300 $\mu mol~mol^{-1}$
- Reference value depends on *f* (P,T) formula used:
- Experimentally derived formulae for CO₂ from E+E

Determination of Water Vapor Enhancement Factors in Carbon Dioxide

E+E Elektronik Ges.m.b.H., Langwiesen 7, 4209 Engerwitzdorf, Austria | T +43 7235 605-0, F +43 7235 605-8 Maintaining the Austrian national standard for humidity on behalf of BEY - Bundsamt für Eich und Vermesungswesen - Austria Patrick Raab | Helmut Mitter patrick.raab@epluse.com

 Compared to use of accepted f (P,T) formulae for air ¹





¹ B Hardy (1998) "ITS-90 formulations for vapor pressure, frost point temperature, dewpoint temperature, and enhancement factors in the range –100 to +100 C." Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Humidity and Moisture, Teddington, London, England.



123

CONVERSION OF REFERENCE VALUE TO OTHER HUMIDITY UNITS E.G AMOUNT FRACTION OF WATER VAPOUR AND FUTURE WORK.



- Further consider uncertainty components for amount fraction calibration mode of operation.
- Limited experimental data available for water vapour enhancement factor for CO₂ in range of interest.
- Non-ideality of CO₂ and water-vapour mixtures can be experimentally investigated for CO₂ at pressures up to 2 MPa (T3900 saturator maximum working limit).
- Would increase experimental data available water vapour enhancement factors in CO₂ – reducing uncertainty in humidity quantity conversions.





THANKYOU!



PAUL.CARROLL@NPL.CO.UK



WWW.NPL.CO.UK/TEMPERATURE-HUMIDITY



















BiometCAP

21NRM04 BiometCAP

Protocol for SI-traceable validation of methods for biomethane conformity assessment

Overview and progress so far

Lucy Culleton, NPL 26th March 2025, BiometCAP workshop, VSL, Delft

Project introduction - objectives



1

To develop cost-effective gas transfer standards, for the impurities specified in EN 16723 for use in biomethane conformity assessment with uncertainties of 1 % - 10 %.



To develop a protocol for the sampling, analysis and performance evaluation of the gas analysers that are used for biomethane conformity assessment.



To use the protocol, developed in objective 2, to evaluate the performance of different types of relevant industrial gas analysers



To collaborate with the technical committee ISO/TC193/SC1/WG25 "Biomethane", and the users of the standards they develop





WP1: Development of gas transfer standards for SI-traceable performance evaluation



BiometCAP



WP2: Protocol for SI-traceable performance evaluation



NPL®

National Physical Laboratory

BiometCAP

WP3: Performance evaluation of industrial analysers and reference instrumentation







WP4: Creating impact

Dissemination & communication

4.1: Contribution to standardisation and metrology committees

- ISO/TC158/WG3
- ISO/TC158/WG4
- ISO/TC158/WG5
- ISO/TC193/SC1/WG25
- CEN/TC408
- CCQM-GAWG
- EURAMET TC-MC



4.2: Knowledge transfer

- Stakeholder committee
- Website
- Conference presentations
- Peer reviewed journal papers
- Trade journal articles
- EMN for energy gases
- GERG networks
- Social media
- Partner knowledge transfer



4.3: Training

Webinars



Workshops



4.4: Uptake and exploitation

Services

- Validated standards & methods
- Validated protocol
- Measurement services

Promotion to stakeholders

- Laboratories
- Research institutes
- Industry





BiometCAP

Project overview





Reference standards and dynamic systems successfully developed











Validation protocol successfully applied to a variety of measurement methods

Partner	Targeted compounds	Analytial method	Measurement uncertainties			
IMBiH	Total silicon	AES	2%			
RISE	Siloxanes (L2, L3, D3,	TD-GC-MS/FID	11%			
VSL	D4, D5)		4-11%			
NPL		GC-IMS	3-8%			
VSL	Ammonia	Laser spectroscopy	6%			
NPL	Ammonia	GC-NCD	-			
VSL	Hydrogen chloride	Laser spectroscopy	7%			
RISE	Halogenated VOCs	GC-MS/FID	10%			
BFKH			3-8%			
CMI	Sulphur compounds	GC-SCD/FID	6%			
NPL			15%			
NPL	Ternenes	GC-MS/FID	2-14%			
RISE	Terpenes	TD-GC-MS/FID	9%			
			Hydrogen 10%			
NPL			Carbon monoxide 0.5% Oxygen 13% Nitrogen 6%			
СМІ	Hydrogen Carbon monoxide Oxygen Nitrogen	TG-TCD	Hydrogen 1.6% Carbon monoxide 0.8% Oxygen 1.6% Nitrogen 0.4%			
Tubitak			Hydrogen 0.4% Carbon monoxide 0.6% Oxygen - Nitrogen 0.4%			













Field trial application in Denmark and Finland

Comparison of results to take place









Dissemination:

- Webinars
- Workshops
- Conferences
- Website (8 reports available)

Table 3 – Material compatibility for gas cylinders

		Stainle	ss steel									Aluminium								
	Untreated		Sulfi	nert®	Untreated		Aculife VII		Performax		SPECTRA- SEAL	SPECTRA- SEAL PT	Experis		Megalife		PB			
	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b
Inert compounds (N2 for ex.)	S [19]	S [19]	x	х	S [19]	S [19]	х	х	x	x	х	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Methane	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	Х	х	X	х	Х	Х	Х
Carbon dioxide	S [19]	S [19]	x	x	S [19]	S [19]	х	x	x	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х
Oxygen	S [19]	S [19]	x	x	S [19]	S [19]	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	×	x	x	x	x	x	х
Carbon monoxide	S [19]	S* [19]	x	х	S [19]	S [19]	х	х	x	х	х	х	x	x	x	х	х	x	x	х
Siloxanes	i.d.	i.d.	S† [21]	S† [21]	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	S† [6]	S† [6]	S† [6]	S† [6]	S† [6]	S† [6]	S [6]	S [6]	S [6]	S [6]	S† [6]	S† [6]
Ammonia	S [19]	S [19]	S [6]	S [6]	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	S [26]	S [26]	S [26]	S [26]	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.
Hydrogen sulphide	NS [19]	S [19]	S [26]	S [26]	NS [26]	S [19]	S [26]	S [26]	x	х	S [26]	S [26]			i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.
Sulphur compounds	NS [26]	i.d.	S	S	N [26]	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	S [26]	S [26]	S	S	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.
Halogenated compounds																				
Hydrocarbons	S [19]	S [19]	x	х	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	х	х	x	х	x	x	i.d.	i.d.		
Terpenes	i.d.	i.d.	S [27]	S [27]	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	NS [27]	i.d.	NS [27]	NS [27]	S [27]	S [27]	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.	i.d.

Covering: Sampling Results of laboratory validations Reporting procedure ...more to come!



Publicly available guide to biomethane sampling



* Suitability demonstrated for siloxanes L2, D3, D4, D5. Instability observed when L3 siloxane present.



ENERGY GASE









Production of gas standards for performance evaluation of the measurement systems that are used for biomethane conformity assessment

Ain Nazirah, Oliver Williams, Christopher Bamforth

BiometCAP workshop, Delft, The Netherlands

26th March 2025

BiometCAP NPL

Overview

1.1: Preparation and validation of static reference gas standards for the performance evaluation of the measurement systems that are used for biomethane conformity assessment

1.2: Development of lab-based or portable dynamic systems for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards

1.3: Validation of the methods developed in Task 1.2 for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards



BiometCAP NPL

Overview

1.1: Preparation and validation of static reference gas standards for the performance evaluation of the measurement systems that are used for biomethane conformity assessment

1.2: Development of lab-based or portable dynamic systems for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards

1.3: Validation of the methods developed in Task 1.2 for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards



BiometCAP NPL 🖗

1.1.1: Specification and stakeholder survey

• Reviewed existing standards and produce specification for compositions and combinations of gas standards

	Lower	Amount F	raction	Higher A	mount F	raction		Polativo	
Component	Pre-	Post-	Einol	Pre-	Post-	Final	Unit		
	survey	survey	Fillat	survey	survey	Fillat		uncertainty target	
total silicon	0.3	0.01	0.3	1	1	1	mg m⁻³	6%	
terpenes	0.01	0.01	0.01	10	50	10	µmol mol ⁻¹	5%	
hydrogen chloride	1	1	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	µmol mol ⁻¹	10%	
ammonia	10	0.1	10	20	20	20	µmol mol ⁻¹	5%	
total sulphur	5	1	1	20	50	50	mg m⁻³	3%	
halogenated VOCs	50	50	50	750	750	750	nmol mol ⁻¹	3–10 %	
hydrogen	2	0.01	0.01	10	10	10	cmol mol ⁻¹	1%	
nitrogen	2	0.01	0.01	10	10	10	cmol mol ⁻¹	1%	
oxygen	0.001	0.001	0.001	1	1	1	cmol mol ⁻¹	1%	
carbon monoxide	0.1	0.01	0.1	n/a	0.1	n/a	cmol mol ⁻¹	1%	



1.1.1: Target amount fractions

• Target amount fractions and uncertainties for components of interest

Component	Range of interest	Target amount fraction	Unit	Target uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 2) (%)
Total silicon	0.3 – 1	1	mg m ⁻³	6
Terpenes	0.01 – 10	10	µmol mol ⁻¹	5
Total sulphur	1 – 50	20	mg m ⁻³	2
Ammonia	10 – 20	20	µmol mol ⁻¹	5
Hydrogen	0.01 – 10	2	cmol mol-1	1
Nitrogen	0.01 – 10	2	cmol mol-1	1
Oxygen	0.001 – 1	0.04	cmol mol-1	1
Carbon monoxide	1000	1000	µmol mol ⁻¹	1



1.1: Reference standard preparation

- High accuracy, SI-traceable gas reference standards were prepared gravimetrically according to ISO 6142-1* using high precision techniques developed at NPL.
- Gas standards were prepared in passivated aluminium cylinders.
- Passivation selection is essential to ensure stability of gas standards.
- Cylinder passivations below were selected based on previous research (Metrology for biomethane 16ENG05^{**})

I	I	Cylinder passivation	Components		E.	I
		BOC SPECTRA-SEAL	Total sulphur, NH ₃ , H ₂ , N ₂ , O ₂ , CO			
		Air Products Experis	Terpenes			
		Air Liquide Megalong	Total silicon			

*International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 6142-1 Gas analysis -Gas analysis -Preparation of calibration gas mixtures —Part 1: Gravimetric method for Class I mixtures, ISO Geneva, 2015 **EMPIR Metrology for biomethane 16ENG05 Final publishable Report. <u>https://www.euramet.org/research-innovation/search-research-projects/details/project/metrology-for-biomethane</u>



1.1: Reference standard preparation


1.1: Reference standard preparation

• Addition of very low mass using special vessels (20-80 mg)





1.1: Reference standard preparation



- Addition of matrix gas (high purity methane)
- Homogenised by heating and rolling cylinders for 2 hours

1.1.2: Validation

 Mixtures validated using NPL Primary Reference Materials (PRM) in accordance with ISO 6143* using direct comparison method



- Siloxanes
 - Hexamethyldisiloxane L2 siloxane (C₆H₁₈OSi₂)
 - Octamethyltrisiloxane L3 siloxane (C₈H₂₄O₂Si₃)
 - Hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane D3 siloxane ($C_6H_{18}O_3Si_3$)
 - Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane D4 siloxane ($C_{10}H_{30}O_5Si_5$)
 - Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane D5 siloxane ($C_{10}H_{30}O_5Si_5$)
- Terpenes
 - ↔ (+)-α-pinene (C₁₀H₁₆)
 - ✤ (+)-3-carene (C₁₀H₁₆)
 - $\clubsuit \text{ R-(+)-limonene } (C_{10}H_{16})$

*International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 6143:2001 Gas analysis — Comparison methods for determining and checking the composition of calibration gas mixtures"

1.1.2 & 1.1.4: Validation

 Mixtures validated using NPL Primary Reference Materials (PRM) in accordance with ISO 6143* using direct comparison method



*International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 6143:2001 Gas analysis — Comparison methods for determining and checking the composition of calibration gas mixtures"

1.1.5: Validation

 Mixtures validated using NPL Primary Reference Materials (PRM) in accordance with ISO 6143* using direct comparison method





*International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 6143:2001 Gas analysis — Comparison methods for determining and checking the composition of calibration gas mixtures"

1.1.2: Results

 Amount fractions achieved were within target ranges according to EN16723-1, EN16723-2 and stakeholder input:

Component	Range of interest	Target amount fraction	Achieved amount fraction	Unit	Target uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 2) (%)	Achieved uncerta (<i>k</i> = 2) (%)	inty
Total silicon	0.3 – 1	1	1.00	mg m ⁻³	6	6	\checkmark
Terpenes	0.01 – 10	10	9.77	µmol mol ⁻¹	5	3	\checkmark
Ammonia	10 – 20	20	19.60	µmol mol ⁻¹	5	3.5	\checkmark
Total sulphur	1 – 50	20	21.31	mg m ⁻³	2	NPL: 2 BFKH: 4.6	
Hydrogen	0.01 – 10	2	1.97	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.51 TUBITAK: 1	
Nitrogen	0.01 – 10	2	2.00	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.40 TUBITAK: 1	
Oxygen	0.001 – 1	0.04	0.04	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 1 TUBITAK: -	
Carbon monoxide	1000	1000	1000	µmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.50 TUBITAK: 1	

1.1.4: Results

 Amount fractions achieved were within target ranges according to EN16723-1, EN16723-2 and stakeholder input:

Component	Range of interest	Target amount fraction	Achieved amount fraction	Unit	Target uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 2) (%)	Achieved uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 2) (%)
Total silicon	0.3 – 1	1	1.00	mg m ⁻³	6	6
Terpenes	0.01 – 10	10	9.77	µmol mol ⁻¹	5	3
Ammonia	10 – 20	20	19.60	µmol mol ⁻¹	5	3.5
Total sulphur	1 – 50	20	21.31	mg m ⁻³	2	NPL: 2 BFKH: 4.6
Hydrogen	0.01 – 10	2	1.97	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.51 TUBITAK: 1
Nitrogen	0.01 – 10	2	2.00	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.40 TUBITAK: 1
Oxygen	0.001 – 1	0.04	0.04	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 1 TUBITAK: -
Carbon monoxide	1000	1000	1000	µmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.50 TUBITAK: 1

1.1.5: Results

 Amount fractions achieved were within target ranges according to EN16723-1, EN16723-2 and stakeholder input:

Component	Range of interest	Target amount fraction	Achieved amount fraction	Unit	Target uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 2) (%)	Achieved uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 2) (%)
Total silicon	0.3 – 1	1	1.00	mg m ⁻³	6	6
Terpenes	0.01 – 10	10	9.77	µmol mol ⁻¹	5	3
Ammonia	10 – 20	20	19.60	µmol mol ⁻¹	5	3.5
Total sulphur	1 – 50	20	21.31	mg m ⁻³	2	NPL: 2 BFKH: 4.6
Hydrogen	0.01 – 10	2	1.97	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.51 TUBITAK: 1
Nitrogen	0.01 – 10	2	2.00	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.40 TUBITAK: 1 💙
Oxygen	0.001 – 1	0.04	0.04	cmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 1 TUBITAK: -
Carbon monoxide	1000	1000	1000	µmol mol ⁻¹	1	NPL: 0.50 TUBITAK: 1

1.1.6: Multi-component mixtures

	Component	Target amount fraction (µmol mol ⁻¹)	
☆	L2 siloxane	0.085	
	L3 siloxane	0.056	
	D3 siloxane	0.056	
	D4 siloxane	0.043	
\bigstar	D5 siloxane	0.033	
\bigstar	Benzene	10	
	Toluene	10	
	a-pinene	3.32	
	3-carene	3.00	
	limonene	3.18	
	Methane	Balance	

 \bigstar

 \bigstar

- 12-month stability study of novel all-in-one gas mixture (0, 3, 6, 12 months)
- Used for interference testing in WP2
- Summary report will be produced towards the end of the stability study

*L2 siloxane - Hexamethyldisiloxane

*L3 siloxane - Octamethyltrisiloxane

*D3 siloxane - Hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane

*D4 siloxane - Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane

*D5 siloxane - Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane

1.1.6: Multi-component mixtures

• FID



1.1.6: Multi-component mixtures

• MS (SIM)



Overview

1.1: Preparation and validation of static reference gas standards for the performance evaluation of the measurement systems that are used for biomethane conformity assessment

1.2: Development of lab-based or portable dynamic systems for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards

1.3: Validation of the methods developed in Task 1.2 for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards





1.2: Development of dynamic systems

Development of portable dynamic systems for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards















1.2: Benefits of dynamically generated references

Static gas reference materials for biomethane conformity assessment contain low amount fractions of analytes

 \rightarrow They may decay quickly and need to be replaced often Σ



High-concentration gas mixtures tend to be more stable

 \rightarrow **Dynamically diluting** provides an alternative to regularly purchasing static standards

1.2.1: NPL Dynamic dilution system

- Requirements:
 - Cover full range of concentrations from work package 1.1.1
 - Low flow uncertainties
 - Avoid excessive gas consumption
 - Portability
 - Minimise adsorption effects for 'sticky' substances (e.g. sulphur, ammonia)





1.2.1: NPL Dynamic dilution system



1.2.2: VSL Dynamic preparation facility

VSL calibrated their dynamic preparation facility for **biomethane-related gas matrices** (methane, carbon dioxide, nitrogen and hydrogen) over the amount fractions specified in A1.1.1



1.2.3: PTB portable optical gas standard

PTB have modified an existing spectroscopic system to become a portable optical gas standard (OGS) for ammonia.

Tested in the 10 μ mol mol⁻¹ – 20 μ mol mol⁻¹ range using the ammonia standard from WP1





1.2.4: VTT Liquid Evaporative Generator



Generator output flow rate	up to 10 L/min		
Generated gas concentration	ppm to ppb levels		
Generated trace gases	Any water-soluble		
	chemical (e.g. NH ₃ , HCL,		
	HF, Hg)*		
Carrier gas	Air, N_2 , CH_4 , H_2 , CO_2^*		
Typical water concentration of generated gas	0.1 - 1.5 vol-%		

Used to generate ppm-levels of NH3 in biomethane for BiometCAP





1.2.4: VTT Liquid Evaporative Generator







Overview

1.1: Preparation and validation of static reference gas standards for the performance evaluation of the measurement systems that are used for biomethane conformity assessment

1.2: Development of lab-based or portable dynamic systems for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards

1.3: Validation of the methods developed in Task 1.2 for the preparation of traceable gas transfer standards





1.3: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.



1.3.1: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

VSL validated their dynamic preparation facility and dynamic permeation facility with nitrogen, hydrogen, total sulphur, ammonia, and hydrogen chloride.







1.3.2: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

NPL validated their **dynamic preparation facility** with nitrogen, hydrogen, oxygen, total sulphur, ammonia, total siloxanes, and total terpenes.



National Physical Laboratory

1.3.2: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

NPL validated their **dynamic preparation facility** with nitrogen, hydrogen, oxygen, total sulphur, ammonia, total siloxanes, and total terpenes.



National Physical Laboratory

Black = dynamic standard Cyan = BFKH PRM from 1.1.4

1.3.2: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

NPL validated their **dynamic preparation facility** with nitrogen, hydrogen, oxygen, total sulphur, ammonia, total siloxanes, and total terpenes.



1.3.2: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

NPL validated their **dynamic preparation facility** with nitrogen, hydrogen, oxygen, total sulphur, ammonia, total siloxanes, and total terpenes.



1.3.2: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

NPL validated their **dynamic preparation facility** with nitrogen, hydrogen, oxygen, total sulphur, ammonia, total siloxanes, and total terpenes.



National Physical Laboratory

Cyan = NPL PRM from 1.1.2

1.3.2: Validation of methods and standards

Total Silicon

Laboratory-based validation of the dynamic preparation facilities for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

NPL validated their dynamic preparation facility with nitrogen, hydrogen, oxygen, total sulphur, ammonia, total siloxanes, and total terpenes.



National Physical Laboratory

174

1.3.3: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

PTB validated their Optical Gas Standard (OGS) facility with ammonia.

Spectroscopic system on NH₃ measurements

- □ Spectroscopic system is based on OF-CEAS
- □ Examples on performance evaluation; the response time and linearity of the instrument









1.3.4: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

VTT and DTU validated their liquid evaporative generator facility with NH3.



Expanded uncertainty of 1.9% for analyte amount fraction realised.



1.3.5: Validation of methods and standards

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

VSL are preparing a summary report on the development of dynamic systems. NPL will submit a biomethane industry trade magazine article based on this.









1.3.6: Reporting

Laboratory-based validation of the **dynamic preparation facilities** for the preparation of traceable biomethane transfer standards by calibrating the static standards.

NPL will prepare a summary report on the **development** and **validation** of **dynamic gas standard preparation facilities** and **novel multicomponent static standards** for the EN16723 impurities in biomethane. Will be submitted as a EURAMET report and a peer reviewed publication.











Acknowledgement

The project **BiometCAP** 21NRM04 has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and by the participating states.







Thanks for listening!

nur.ain.nazirah.najurudeen@npl.co.uk oliver.williams@npl.co.uk christopher.bamforth@npl.co.uk



National Physical Laboratory

npl.co.uk

© NPL Management Limited, 2025
993.28



Protocol for evaluation of lab-based instruments and methods used in biomethane conformity assessment

Sandra Hultmark, RISE















Protocol for evaluation of lab-based instruments and methods used in biomethane conformity assessment

Sandra Hultmark, RISE 26th of March 2025





Development of a measurement infrastructure







Why do we need a protocol?

Reliable and traceable purity measurements can only be obtained with equipment of known performance. Instrument manufacturers and end users therefore need a standardised protocol in order to meaningfully demonstrate instrument performance in both laboratory and field conditions.

The protocol can be used to:

- a) Determine a range for each component over which the uncertainties are valid;
- b) Determine uncertainties over a pre-defined range for each analyte;
- c) Evaluate the performance of analyzers in the field

The protocol will be submitted to ISO/TC193/SC1/WG25 for consideration as a new ISO standard (in the form of a New Work Item Proposal (NWIP) and draft ISO standard text).



ISO/TC193/SC1/WG25 "Biomethane" has developed a series of standards mostly based on the work done during 16ENG05 Metrology for biomethane

BiometCAP will produce a NWIP "Protocol for performance evaluation of gas analysers used in biomethane conformity assessment"



Mem	ous developed for blomeind	une
mpurities	Measurement principle	ISO standard
mines	TD-GC-MS/FID	ISO/TS
	(specifically alcohol-	2610:2023
	amines and piperazines)	ISO 2620:2024
lalogenated	IC (HF and HCI)	ISO 2611-1:2024
ompounds	TD-GC-MS/FID	ISO 2620:2024
	(halogenated organic	
	compounds)	
mmonia	TDLAS	ISO 2612:2023
otal silicon content	GC-IMS (siloxanes)	ISO 2613-2:2023
or Siloxanes	TD-GC-MS-FID	ISO 2620:2024
	(Siloxanes)	
	AES (total silicon)	ISO 2613-1:2023
erpenes	μ GC-TCD	ISO 2614:2023
	TD-GC-MS-FID	ISO 2620:2024
Compressor oil	GC-MS (or GC-FID)	ISO 2615:2024
Other VOCs	TD-GC-MS-FID	ISO 2620:2024
ketones,		
ydrocarbons,		
urans)		
EUROPEAN P	ARTNERSHIP Co-funded by	METROLOGY





PARTNERSHIP





Production and exchange of gas mixtures between partners



Validation of the protocol on species at 7 NMIs





Co-funded by

the European Union



WP2: Protocol for use in the SI-traceable performance evaluation of the measurement systems that are used for biomethane conformity assessment

Task 2.1: Development of a performance assessment protocol

• The aim of this task is to develop a performance assessment protocol detailing how to evaluate the gas analysers that are used for measuring key impurities in biomethane

Task 2.2: Validation of the performance assessment protocol

• The aim of this task is to demonstrate the fitness of purpose of the performance assessment protocol

Task 2.3: New work item proposal

 The aim of this task is to develop a new work item proposal (NWIP) (a proposal and draft ISO standard text, describing the protocol for performance evaluation of the gas analysers that are used in biomethane conformity assessment) for a new ISO standard for consideration by ISO/TC193/SC1/WG25.









Task 2.1: Development of a performance assessment protocol



The general procedure for determining the performance characteristics of the instrument consists of eight steps (four planning steps, one experimental step and three calculation steps).

- Specify the components to be measured and the measuring range (planning)
- 2) Establish the expected function of the response (for example linear response) (planning)
- 3) Specify the set of reference gas mixtures needed (planning)
- 4) Choose a gas mixture to be used for routine calibration (planning)
- 5) Collect data to evaluate the performance characteristics (experimental)
- 6) Evaluate/calculate the performance characteristics related to the range (LOQ, LOD..) (calculation)
- 7) Evaluate/calculate the performance characteristics related to the precision and bias (calculation)
- 8) Calculate the measurement uncertainties



EURAME

Parameters to evaluate

BiometCAP



• Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ):

LOD the smallest amount or concentration of the analyte in the test sample that can be reliably distinguished. Limit of quantitation (LOQ) – the lowest concentration of the analyte that can be determined with an acceptable repeatability and trueness.

- Working range and linearity: Defines the interval over which reliable results are obtained
- Robustness/ruggedness: The terms robustness and ruggedness refer to the ability of an analytical method to remain unaffected by small variations.



Parameters to evaluate

Measurement uncertainty: Indicate how close a measurement result is to the true value **Precision:**characterizes the closeness of agreement between the measured values obtained by the replicate measurements on the same or similar objects under specified conditions.

Repeatability

Intermediate precision

Reproducibility

Trueness / bias:closeness of agreement between the average of an infinite number of replicate measured quantity values and a reference quantity value.

EUROPEAN PARTNERSHIP





EURAME



Task 2.2: Validation of the performance assessment protocol



NMIs	Targeted compounds	Analytical method	Standard	Measurement uncertainties
IMBiH	Total silicon	AES	ISO 2613-1:2023	2%
RISE		TD-GC-MS-FID	ISO 2620:2024	11%
VSL	Siloxanes		ISO 2620:2024	4-11%
NPL		GC-IMS	ISO 2613-2:2023	3-8%
VSL	Ammonia	TDLAS	ISO 2612:2023	6%
NPL		GC-NCD	own method	*
VSL	Hydrogen chloride	TDLAS	own method	7%
RISE	Halogenated VOC	TD-GC-MS-FID	ISO 2620:2024	10%
BFKH			Modified ISO 19739	3-8%
СМІ	Sulphur compounds	GC-SCD-FID	Modified ISO 19739	6%
NPL	ouprui compounds		Modified ISO 19739	15%
NPL	Terpenes	GC-MS-FID	Modified ISO 2620:2024	2-14%
RISE		TD-GC-MS-FID	ISO 2620:2024	9%
NPL	Hydrogen, carbon monoxide, oxygen, nitrogen	GC-TCD	ISO 6974-6:2002	Hydrogen 10% Carbon monoxide 0.5% Oxygen 13% Nitrogen 6%
СМІ			ISO 6974-6:2002	Hydrogen 1.6% Carbon monoxide 0.8% Oxygen 1.6% Nitrogen 0.4%
UME			ISO 6974-1:2012	Hydrogen 0.4% Carbon monoxide 0.6% Nitrogen 0.4%





EUROPEAN PARTNERSHIP

ہ مح Area (arb. u.)

0

12

1.0



Co-funded by

the European Union

×10 ⁶	L2	×1(
8- ■ FI	R ² = 1.000 y=26255x-14389	3		
$R^2 = 0$	199 5×+ 22700	1		



4.56

3.04

hexamethyl



0.2 💂 0.4

0.6

Amount (mgm⁻³)

0.8

0.0 **Residuals**

0.1

BiometCAP

The validation of the method ISO 2620:2024 according to the performance assessment protocol



METROLOGY

PARTNERSHIP

EURAMET

2F

The validation of the method ISO 2620:2024 according to the performance assessment protocol

halogenated VOCs (A2.2.4)

Compounds	LOD, mg.m ⁻³	LOQ, mg.m ⁻³
	(S/N = 3)	(S/N = 10)
MS	detector	
Dichloromethane	0.03	0.09
FID	detector	
Dichloromethane	0.01	0.04

Compounds	u(R _w)	u(bias)	$U = 2u_c$
Compounds	rel. %	rel. %	%
Dichlorometh	2 65	4 11	10
ane	2.00	7.11	

BiometCAP









EUROPEAN PARTNERSHIP

Co-funded by

terpenes (A2.2.6)					
×10 ⁶		3-carene			
160 - 140 -	★ MS ■ FID	R ² = 1.000 y=182540x-2E+06			



The validation of the method ISO 2620:2024 according to the performance assessment protocol

×10 ⁶	3-carene	×10 ⁶	
160 -	★ MS ■ FID R ² = 1.000	► .	
140 - 120 -	y=182540x-2E+06	- 600	Compoun
์ <mark>ภ</mark> ่า 100 - ยู	y=36838x+155289		
- 08 .		- 400 <u>e</u>	
¥ 60-		Are a	3-caren
40 -		- 200	2
20 -		-	3-carent
×10 ⁶ 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	
2- 1- 1-	*	•	
-2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -	0 ■ 10 ★ 20 ■ 30 ★ 40		
-3 -	*		
	Amount (mgm⁻³)		

Compounds	LOD, mg.m ⁻³ (S/N =	LOQ, mg.m ⁻³ (S/N = 10)
	3)	
MS	detector	
3-carene	0.008	0.03
FID	detector	
3-carene	0.002	0.007

Compounds	u(R _w)	u(bias)	U = 2u _c
Compounds	rel. %	rel. %	%
3-carene	2.62	3.31	9





Task 2.3: New work item proposal



ISO/TC193/SC1/WG25 "Biomethane" has developed a series of standards mostly based on the work done during 16ENG05 Metrology for biomethane

BiometCAP will produce a NWIP "Protocol for performance evaluation of gas analysers used in biomethane conformity assessment"



Methods developed for biomethane				
Impurities	Measurement principle	ISO standard		
Amines	TD-GC-MS/FID (specifically alcohol- amines and piperazines)	ISO/TS 2610:2023 ISO 2620:2024		
Halogenated compounds	IC (HF and HCI) TD-GC-MS/FID (halogenated organic compounds)	ISO 2611-1:2024 ISO 2620:2024		
Ammonia Total silicon content or Siloxanes	TDLAS GC-IMS (siloxanes) TD-GC-MS-FID (Siloxanes) AES (total silicon)	ISO 2612:2023 ISO 2613-2:2023 ISO 2620:2024 ISO 2613-1:2023		
Terpenes Compressor oil Other VOCs (ketones, hydrocarbons, furans)	μ GC-TCD TD-GC-MS-FID GC-MS (or GC-FID) TD-GC-MS-FID	ISO 2614:2023 ISO 2620:2024 ISO 2615:2024 ISO 2620:2024		
EUROPEAN F	Co-funded by	METROLOGY		





PARTNERSHIP



METROLOGY

Co-funded by

the European Union

Conclusions

EUROPEAN PARTNERSHIP

- BiometCAP protocol specifies procedures to evaluate the performance of instruments that detect key impurities in biomethane. It addresses various critical parameters including detailed experimental methods to assess these parameters.
- Ensures that the biomethane assessment methods not only adhere to high standards but also contribute to consistent and reliable industry practices.
- The applicability of the protocol was tested across different laboratories using various gas analysers, sampling, validated testing methods and reference standards.
- The protocol will be submitted to ISO/TC193/SC1/WG25 for consideration as a new ISO standard (in the form of a New Work Item Proposal (NWIP) and draft ISO standard text).

Thank you for listening

Questions?







Tamara Sarac & Robert Judd, GERG















BioStAR2C – final phase of GERG Biomethane Project

Biomethane trace components and their potential impact on the European gas industry

Robert Judd, GERG Florent Huet, ENGIE Gaspard Bouteau, ENGIE Alexandra Kostereva, GERG Tamara Sarac, GERG



EMN Energy Gases Joint Workshop BiometCAP 26.03.2025, Delft



or removing technical barriers to the injection of biomethane in the

Standards (2016): •

natural gas network

16723 -1: Specifications for biomethane for injection in the natural gas network

Objectives of the project

Supporting the CEN European standardization process through reducing

- **16723-2**: Automotive fuel specification
- These standards specify the biomethane quality expected for injection • in gas network and usage as gas fuel regarding the maximum trace compound concentrations

The overall objective of the project is to offer the conditions to a safe development and a competitive positioning of the biomethane chain on the market



BioStAR2c



Timeline Towards the removing of technical barriers to biomethane injection into the natural gas grids



2025





Structure



Work Package 2 Status

impact of siloxanes on industrial boilers





Objective and structure of the WP



- **OBJECTIVE** : gathering data on the impact of siloxane presence within biomethane on the performance of industrial boilers.
 - the boiler was operated in power modulation mode in order to mimic real usage of such systems in industrial environment.
 - Previous work on continuous mode highlighted a decrease of the ionization signal over test period due to silica deposition on the ionization probe → leading to misfire od the boiler
- METHODOLOGY :
 - 4 siloxane concentrations: 5 mgSi/Nm3, 2.5 mgSi/Nm3, 1.5 mgSi/Nm3 and 1 mgSi/Nm3
 - Power modulation : 450 KW / 90 kW
 - Each concentration tested for a period of 5 cycles (1 cycle/week)
 - Monitored parameters :
 - General performances/heat loss along the 5 cycles for each concentration
 - Pollutant emissions (CO, CO2, NOx, ...)
 - Ionization signal degradation
 - At the end of each concentration testing, the boilers will be open in order to gather the silica deposition that will be analyzed

1 MW boiler operated in ENGIE lab CRIGEN (Stains – France)



RESULTS EXPLOITATION:

Extrapolation towards realistic biomethane usage for recommendations of adapted siloxane concentration to be implemented in EN 16723 standard revision

WP2 : siloxane impact on industrial boilers & GERG



→ Cleaning of the boiler along normal maintenance protocol allowed for recovering initial performances

WP2 : siloxane impact on industiral boilers & GERG



Based on these different results, the percentage decrease per day used for the different calculation are:

- For 5 mgSi/Nm³ : -0.0627 %/day (actual fitting)
- For 2.5 mgSi/Nm³ : -0.0347 %/day (actual fitting)
- No burner efficiency decrease observed for 1 and 1.5 mgSi/Nm³

Extrapolation (pessimistic) for calculations

- For 1.5 mgSi/Nm³ : -0.0188 %/day (extrapolated)
- For 1 mgSi/Nm³ : -0.0125 %/day (extrapolated)

WP2 : siloxane impact on industiral boilers **b GERG**

RESULT EXPLOITATION :

How to consider realisitic usage of biomethane with siloxane on industrial boiler :

Source : EBA statistical report 2023

• Siloxane are mostly present on biogas from WWTP -> 6% of the overall biogas plant in Europe

Source : RISE report 2023 – Biostar2C : "Database of biogas and biomethane composition from Swedish data »

- From WWTP biogas plant (31 plants): 80% of them show a siloxane concentration (D5) between 0.95 and 3.67 mgSi/Nm3
- From non WWTP biogas plants (64 plants):80 % of them shown a siloxane concentration (D5) between 0.02 and 0.83 mgSi/Nm3

WP 5 Improving biomethane knowledge-Task1 : Biomethane data Sweden \rightarrow concrete usage





- Siloxane are mostly encountered in biogas from WasteWater Treatment (WWTP)Plants
 - (for ref : 10 000 µg siloxane/m³ = 3,78 mgSi/Nm³)
- Biogas upgrading is very efficient to reduce siloxane concentration in biomethane.
 - Spot measurement (1/45 measurements) on amine scrubbing technologies however show strong concentration which can be attributed to the fact that the gas was wet. Those siloxane would probably be removed by dryer.
- \rightarrow All other measurement show siloxane concentration below 1,5mg Si/Nm³

WP2 : siloxane impact on industiral boilers b GERG

Considering the <u>current scenarios of low WWTP shares and low siloxane concentrations present in biogas</u>, overall impact on industrial boilers of realistic siloxane concentration in biomethane is limited.

Based on results and extrapolation, **2mgSi/Nm3 appears to be a reasonable value to be implemented in EN 16723 standard revision** Setting up a limit of siloxane at 2mgSi/Nm3 is not too restrictive for biomethane producer while ensuring acceptable performances of industrial boilers between 2 maintenance procedures.

2 mgSi/Nm3	100% bioCH4	50% bioCH4	10% bioCH4
Expected burner performances loss over 12 months period considering siloxane present all over the gas network	9.15%	4.58%	0.92%
Expected burner performances loss over 12 months period considering siloxane only present on WWTP (1/10 of biomethane plants in Europe)	0.91%	0.46%	0.09%

 Amount of Biomethane to be considered as assumption : 10 % (French Objective for 2030)
 50 % (European objective for 2050)
 100 % (actual case studied during the tests)



Impact of oxygen and corrosives







Objective and structure of the WP



- Task 1 : Impact of oxygen on Underground Gas Storage
 - Structure of the task1 : Lead by DNV UK / GLIS
 - Formation Damage Evaluation
 - Microbial Population Identification
 - Elemental Sulfur Generation
 - Surface process equipment impacts
- Task 2 : Corrosion tests
 - Lead by KIWA and GRT Gaz
 - Samples would be placed in each autoclave with at least one sample in the liquid phase, one sample in the gas phase and one sample at the interface

■ Task 3 : Impact of H2 on CNG type 1 steel tanks → focus of today

- Objective : Getting better insights on the suitability of CNG type 1 steel tanks with H2
- Structure of the task 2 :
 - Tests carried out by P' institute (University of Poitiers) as a subcontractor of ENGIE
 - 2 kinds of tests planned : fracture toughness tests and crack growth rate tests both with 34CrNiMo6 steel

WP4 : Impact of oxygen and corrosives compounds- task 3 H2 impacts



Air ambient pressure

260 bar CH4 + 2% H2

260 bar CH4 + 4% H2

260 bar CH4

Fracture toughness tests aim to provide information on the stress that a structure with a crack of a certain length can withstand without it propagating.



KQ (Mpa.m^{1/2}) = stress intensity factor : capacity of a material to resist to crack propagation at a given force Current limit at 2% H₂



CTOD (mm) : Crack Tip Opening Displacement

260 bar CH4 + 6% H2 10,4 bar H2 Precracking+test 260 $Jm (MJ/m^2)$ bar CH4 + 4%H2

Jm (MJ:m²): plastic strain energy released per unit area of crack surface

- Significant KQ value reduction, with over 17 MPa×√m in difference, is noticed between the 2% H2 mixture and the 6% H2 one.
- Blended samples show a significantly reduced CTOD mean value (~0,08mm).
- The mean Jm values for samples tested under 2%, 4%, and 6% H2 are similar.

WP4 : Impact of oxygen and corrosives compounds- task 3 H2 impacts Current limit at 2% H₂



Fatigue crack propagation tests aim to assess the lifespan in the presence of a crack-like defect on the inner surface of the tank.



- Adding hydrogen in the gas mixture drastically increase crack propagation rate (approximately an order of magnitude compared to no H2), corroborating findings in existing literature
- Increasing H_2 above 2% (current maximum concentration in both standard EN 16723 and R110 regulation), only show limited effect on the crack propagation over repeated fatigue cycles
- The results seems to indicate that an increase of the allowable maximum $H_2\%$ to 4% would be acceptable
- Dedicated analysis on other materials in presence of H2 (engine, pressure regulators, sensors..) would bring a more definitive picture for assessing the impact of an increase of H₂ on gas vehicle lifetime




CONCLUSIONS



CONCLUSIONS



Impact of siloxane on industrial boiler : current limit at 1 mgSi/Nm³

- test realization on 4 siloxanes concentrations show that silica deposit can reduce burner yield
 - A concentration of 2mgSi/Nm³ is recommended for the revision of EN 16723 Standard for ensuring sufficient performances between 2 maintenance periods (12/15 months)

Impact of H2 on CNG vehicle tanks

- Adding H₂ in biomethane have an impact on Type I reservoir mechanical properties
 - 2% in already accepted in standards and regulation (R110)
- Further adding H₂ (4% and 6%) seem not lead to further reducing mechanical properties of Type I tank material
- Further test on other gas vehicle material are needed to the full picture on H₂ impact (needed for R110 revision) but a 4% H₂ seems to be acceptable for the revision of EN 16723 standard

Improving Biomethane knowledge

- Biogas and biomethane database was realized on 70 plant in Sweden
- The database help to better understand where does biogas and biomethane stand compared to current standard
 - The database enable to show that Highest concentration of VOC in biogases was produced from food wastes feedstock
 - The database was used to better rationalized the results obtained on siloxane testing

Project updates: <u>Biostar2c - Gerg</u> (www.gerg.eu/biostar2c/)



THE EUROPEAN GAS RESEARCH GROUP

Thank you for your attention!

robertjudd@gerg.eu







Funded by the European Union

The Biostar2C project has received funding from the Horizon Europe Programme under Grant Agreement No 101112475.

993.28

Revision of EN 16723

Claudia Paijens, NaTran





411





AND







Revision of EN 16723

Biomethane and other renewable and low-carbon methane rich gases – Specifications for injection in the natural gas network and for mixtures with natural gas as automotive fuel

Claudia Paijens Convenor of CEN/TC 408/WG1 Research Engineer – Gas quality





2011	2016 - 2017	2017 - 2024	2022 - 2024	2023
 Beginning of biomethane industrialization, injection and use Creation of CEN/TC 408, Biomethane 	 Publication of standards concerning biomethane quality: EN 16723-1 on biomethane for injection in the NG gas grid published in 2016. EN 16723-2 on natural gas and biomethane as automative fuel 	 Lack of information on impact of sulphur and siloxanes on engines, of oxygen on underground storages, and impact on health GERG Biomethane project Phase 2a (2017-2018) Phase 2b (2019-2020) 	 Lack of analysis methods on biomethane components (siloxanes, amines, halogenated compounds, compressor oil, etc.) Publication of various standards from EURAMET project and ISO/TC 193/SC 1/WG25 	 Creation of CEN/TC 408/WG1 for the revision of EN 16723-1 and EN 16723-2 → Feb. 2023: Preliminary work item

08/04/2025

Objectives of the revision of EN 16723 parts 1 & 2

- Change in the title and the scope of CEN/TC 408 to consider the arrival of new methanes: Biomethane and other renewable and low-carbon methane rich gases
- Creation of CEN/TC 408/WG 1 Injection & fuel

Change in the title and the scope of EN 16723, and merge of the two parts: **Biomethane and other renewable and low-carbon methane rich gases – Specifications for injection in the natural gas network and for mixtures with natural gas as automotive fuel**



Review the limit values considering the latest research of the GERG projects

Objectives of the revision of EN 16723 parts 1 & 2 **GERG Biomethane project** Phase 3 **Revision of** Phase 2c standard EN Dec 2022 Phase 2b 16723 parts 1&2 Oct 2020 Phase 2a BioStAR2c Follow up from Phases 1 & 2a Jan 2018 WP1: Experimental program on siloxanes Follow up from Phases 1, 2a & 2b impacts Engines: test on switching type oxygen **Combination of GERG priorities &** WP2: Experimental program on siloxanes impacts Phase 1 **CEN immediate priorities:** sensors Industrial boilers: cycling mode (start and stop) Status review and gap analysis WP2: Experimental program on the impact of Sulfur WP3: Experimental program on the impact of sulfur on **GERG** gas industry sulfur on vehicles After Treatment System vehicles After Treatment System (Catalysts) Oxygen priorities: Status review (Catalvsts) Ageing test Health impact assessment and gap analysis: Lab test using dedicated burner with given Vehicle test Experimental program - Impact of Siloxanes sulfur concentration in natural gas Sulfur ageing modeling siloxanes on: Corrosive components Industrial boilers Micro-organisms WP3: preparation for Experimental program on WP2: Experimental program on the impact of oxygen Heavy duty vehicles • the impact of oxygen and corrosive and corrosive components on gas facilities: performance components on gas facilities: • Gas grid Gas grid • Underground Gas Storage Underground Gas Storage SA/CEN/RESEARCH/475/201 ٠ Impact of hydrogen on type 1 CNG steel tanks 7-07 WP4: Improve knowledge on biomethane WP5: Improve knowledge on biomethane • Biomethane quality database (UK data) Biomethane quality database (Swedish data) • Biomethane & bioLNG quality data (French Biomethane quality database (French data) data) Upgrading process database CERC • Literature review on siloxane purification process **Horizon Europe Grant Agreement** CEN/2019/ENER/C2/452-2019 No 101112475

Classification NaTran : Public [] Interne [] Restreint [X] Secret []

08/04/2025

Objectives of the revision of EN 16723 parts 1 & 2

- Change in the title and the scope of CEN/TC 408 to consider the arrival of new methanes: Biomethane and other renewable and low-carbon methane rich gases
- Creation of CEN/TC 408/WG 1 Injection & fuel

Change in the title and the scope of EN 16723, and merge of the two parts: **Biomethane and other renewable and low-carbon methane rich gases – Specifications for injection in the natural gas network and for mixtures with natural gas as automotive fuel**



08/04/2025

methanation)

EN 16723 – Applicable common requirements and test methods for biomethane at the point of entry into H gas and L gas networks and as automative fuel

Part 1 - 2016					
Paramotor	Unit	Limit values ^a		Test method	gas
Parameter		Min	Max	(informative)	
Total volatile silicon	ma S i/m ³		0 2 to 1	EN ISO 16017-1:2000	
(as Si)	IIIgoi/III-		0,3 10 1	TDS-GC-MS	
Compressor oil		а		ISO 8573-2:2007	
Dust impurities		а		ISO 8573-4:2001	
Chlorinated			See FD CFN/TB 17238	ISO 1911·2010	
compounds			000100200	100 1011.2010	
Fluorinated			See ED CEN/TB 17238	NF X43-304:2007	
compounds				ISO 15713:2006	
СО	% mol		0,1	EN ISO 6974- series	
				NEN 2826:1999 or VDI 3496	
NH3	mg/m ³		10	Blatt 1:1982-04	
				NF X43-303:2011	
Amine	mg/m ³		10	VDI 2467 Blatt 2:1991-08	

^c Fuelling stations providing LNG should ensure a maximum particle contamination of 10 mg/l of LNG to protect the automotive vehicle system from debris, providing performance equivalent to a filter with maximum pore size of 5 µm nominal and 10 µm absolute with 90 % efficiency.

08/04/2025

ISO/TC 193/SC 1/WG25 Biomethane – Update

Number	Title	Publication
ISO/TS 2610	Determination of amines content	August 2022
ISO 2611-1	Determination of halogenated compounds — Part 1: HCl and HF content by ion chromatography	April 2024
ISO/NWIP 2611-2	Measurement of halogenated VOCs	First draft of a NWIP circulated
ISO 2612	Determination of ammonia content by Tuneable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy	December 2023
ISO 2613-1	Silicon content of biomethane — Part 1: Determination of total silicon content by AAS	May 2023
ISO 2613-2	Silicon content of biomethane — Part 2: Determination of siloxane content by Gas Chromatography Ion Mobility Spectrometry	December 2023
ISO 2614	Determination of terpenes content by micro gas chromatography	September 2023
ISO 2615	Determination of the content of compressor oil	May 2023
ISO 2620	Determination of VOCs by thermal desorption gas chromatography with flame ionization and/or mass spectrometry detectors (TD-GC-FID/MS)	June 2024



08/04/2025

EN 16723 – Applicable common requirements and test methods for biomethane at the point of entry into H gas and L gas networks and as automative fuel

	for biomethane				
Parameter	Unit		Limit values ^a	Test method	
		Min	Max	(normative)	
Total volatile silicon	mgSi/m ³		0.3 to 1	ISO 2613-1	
(as Si)				ISO 2613-2	
Compressor oil		a, b		ISO 2615	
Dust impurities		b		ISO/NP 24895	
Chlorinated			See ED CEN/TB 17238	ISO 2611-1	
compounds					
Fluorinated			See FD CFN/TB 17238	ISO 2611-1	
compounds					
CO	% mol		0,1	EN ISO 6974- series	
NH3	mg/m ³		10	ISO 2612	
Amine	mg/m ³		10	ISO/TS 2610	

^a Fuelling stations providing LNG should ensure a maximum particle contamination of 10 mg/l of LNG to protect the automotive vehicle system from debris, providing performance equivalent to a filter with maximum pore size of 5 µm nominal and 10 µm absolute with 90 % efficiency.

^b The biomethane shall be free from impurities other than "de minimis" levels of compressor oil and dust impurities. In the context of this European Standard, "de minimis" means an amount that does not render the biomethane unacceptable for conveyance and use in end user applications.

EN 16723 – Applicable common requirements and test methods for biomethane at the point of entry into H gas and L gas networks and as automative fuel

	work item				for biomethane	Call for experts to join the WG
	Parameter	Unit	Limit values ^a Min Max		Test method (normative)	Applicability (related to feedstock or process)
	Total volatile silicon (as Si)	mgSi/m ³		0,3 to 1	ISO 2613-1	Only for WWTP sludge
	Compressor oil		a, b		ISO 2615	
	Dust impurities		b		ISO/NP 24895	
	Chlorinated compounds			See FD CEN/TR 17238	ISO 2611-1	Not for agricultural waste
	Fluorinated compounds			See FD CEN/TR 17238	ISO 2611-1	Not for agricultural waste
	со	% mol		0,1	EN ISO 6974- series	Only for gasification
	NH3	mg/m ³		10	ISO 2612	
0.	Amine	mg/m ³		10	ISO/TS 2610	Only for methanation depending on the origin of CO2
ers	Terpenes				ISO 2614	Only with citrus fruits
lete	Butanone				ISO 2620	
param	Heavy hydrocarbons / PAHs					Only for pyrogasification

New

What's next?

- Preliminary work item from February 2023 → Until February 2026 to activate the revision
- Waiting for GERG results:
 - April 2025: Table with recommendations for each parameter, S excluded (waiting for results)
 - January 2026: Final report
- Until then: Ongoing work on the revision of the standard with the members of the WG



New experts in pyrolysis/pyrogasification, hydrothermal gasification, methanation, Power-to-gas are welcome to join the WG! *Next meeting in June 2025*



08/04/2025





Siège social

Immeuble Bora 6, rue Raoul Nordling 92270 Bois-Colombes Tél.: 01 74 65 76 87 contact@natrangroupe.com www.natrangroupe.com 993.28















Measurement campaigns in Denmark and Finland

Alexander Fateev, Sen. Sci. DTU Chemical Engineering, <u>alfa@kt.dtu.dk</u> in collaboration with PTB (DE), VTT (FI) and TFS (USA) teams and support from biogas plants staff





What is in the scope:

- Activities under WP3: Performance evaluation of the industrial analysers and reference instrumentation that are used for biomethane conformity assessment
- □ Task 3.2: Laboratory and field trials for the performance evaluation of industrial analysers and reference instrumentation
- Overview of the field measurements in Finland (1x) and Denmark (2x)



VTT+DTU+TFS at Lohja Biogas (FI):

- **new plant**
- **commercial biowaste, household biowaste, food industry waste**
- biomethane to gas net (receiver station about 3 km away)
- **CO2** capture/storage ready

METROLOGY

PARTNERSHIP

EURAN







METROLOGY

EURAM

- **H2S removal by carbon filters**
- CO2 separation by membrane technology (Bright Biomethane)
- Product gas (4.5 bar) and before membrane (after carbon filters) (14 bar) sampling points
- □ Very clean biomethane with CS2, VOC traces







DTU+TFS at Solrød Bioenergy (DK):

- **commercial biowaste**
- **Good industry waste**
- **agriculture products**
- **biomethane to gas net**









- 1st step: H2S removal carbon filters
- 2nd step: VOC removal carbon filters
- CO2 (pressurized) water scrubber (MALMBERG)
- Biomethane contains BTX and terpenes



Donaldson

Ultrafilter

-

Donaldson Ultrafilter

......



DTU+PTB+TFS at Ribe Biogas (DK):

METROLOGY

EURAME

- **Oldest biogas production** site in DK
- mainly agriculture origin
- biomethane to gas net







- Amine-based technology
- H2S and CO2 removal in adsorber-stripper system (AMMONGAS)
- Biomethane contains Sx (DMS, CS2), BTX







Case study: TFS MAX-iR (FTIR spectrometer) performance evaluation with use of protocol

- product gas (= biomethane) varies with time
- base line = measurements with N2 in the FTIR and (optionally) in the sampling line
- ambient temperature variations can potentially affect the base line
- □ Stop & Go approach: "sampling stop" \rightarrow "switch to N2"(FTIR only) \rightarrow "sampling continue"





Sampling line (PTEF): memory effects



Start from "after carbon filter", then switch to "product gas" (= biomethane)
 FTIR N2 purge and new reference measurements in between
 Takes about 45 min to clean the PTEF sampling line



Case study: product gas analysis with MAX-iR (FTIR spectrometer): correlations



Product gas (= biomethane): real time (process) related observations:

- NH3 < 2 ppm; no signs for siloxanes and H2S
- □ H2O < 5 ppm (H2O d.p. at Receiver Station: - 100 °C)
- VOC (propylene) and CH4 vs.
 CO2: opposite phase timecorrelations
- about 1 hr. period
- Compliant with EN16723



Case study: process gas analysis with MAX-iR (FTIR spectrometer): correlations

[after carbon filter \rightarrow compression \rightarrow fine filter (dryer) \rightarrow receiver station]



- □ NH3 and CH4 vs. CO2: opposite phase time-correlations
- □ Non-homogeneous CO2 scrubbing (flow patterns in CO2 adsorption column)
- □ NH3 comes after H2O from sampling start
- □ H2O is defined by ambient temperature (sampling line)



Case study: process gas analysis with MAX-iR (FTIR spectrometer): NH3



- Non-uniform scrubbing causes large CO2 and NH3 variations
- Better CO2 capture causes higher NH3 emissions (and CH4 yield)
- NH3 can be released from gas or liquid phases (in adsorber and/or stripper)
- □ NH3 is a decomposition product from scrubber (amine oxidation product)

METROLOGY PARTNERSHIP EURAM

Case study: process gas analysis with MAX-iR (FTIR spectrometer): VOC and NH3



VOC carbon filter performance:

- Same day measurements
- Terpenes (limonene) time dependence does not depend on other variations
- Carbon filter reduces
 VOC's (terpenes) but
 does not influence
 onto NH3
- Lower CO2 variations (= more stable CO2 capture process) lead to lower variations in NH3 emission 248



Lessons learned:

Broad variations in minor gas components

Compliance with biomethane-to-gas-grid specifications (GC-based at Receiver Stations based on EN16723): H2S, CO2, O2, H2O(d.p.), CH4

Process data analysis can be relevant to amine-based CO2 capture (e.g. in MetCCUS project, morning session)

On-line analysis is a must when there are in process variations

Off-line gas analysis can only be used for a representative gas analysis



Acknowledgements

□ Lohja (FI), Ribe (DK) and Solrød (DK) biogas plants personnel and management: for the support, help and fruitful discussions

The project BiometCAP 21NRM04 has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and by the Participating States 993.28

Improvement of data analysis based on onsite campaign

Michael Thomas, Thermo Fischer Scientific











Introducing



Trevor Tilmann

Applications Engineer, Environmental & Process Monitoring

- BSc in Chemistry from Central Michigan University
- Joined Thermo Fisher Scientific in 2023
- Expert in FTIR gas analysis, continuous emissions monitoring, and method development
- Currently focused on developing clean energy applications for the FTIR product line

Thermo Fisher s c | e N T | F | C

Improvement of data analysis based on onsite campaign

Trevor Tilmann

Applications Engineer

26th March, 2025

The world leader in serving science



Key Method Improvements Performed



Improved 99.99%+ Methane to improve residuals in FTIR fingerprint region

Improved CO₂ calibration to "fill in" concentration gap

3

Improved primary quant region of moisture to improve residuals across mid-IR



Including other VOC's which are/were not considered in original method


Original Method Results





Indicates high R² value

000 Indicates low R² value

 \star Indicates potential issues with reference

Original Method Results – Fingerprint Region

- Incomplete spectrum of CH₄ in fingerprint region creates biased results for:
 - Siloxanes
 - Ethylene



Thermo Fisher

SCIENTI

Original Method Results – CO2 Inaccuracy

- Poor Regression Reconstruction for CO₂
 - Provided CO₂ reference was from ND-1000ppm
 - Sample concentrations were
 higher
- Created poor peak matching of CO₂ spectrum in fingerprint region
 - Attributes to poor quantification of siloxanes, carbon monoxide, and ethylene.



Thermo Fisher

Original Method Results – Moisture Inaccuracy

- Poor Regression Reconstruction for H₂O
 - Primary quant region conflicting with high concentrations of biomethane
- Created poor peak matching of H₂O spectrum across mid-IR
 - Attributes to poor quantification of impurities



Thermo Fisher

Thermo Fisher SCIENTIFIC

Original Method - Biomethane Absolute Purity



Optimized Method Results – Fingerprint Region

- Acquired 99.99% CH₄ spectrum on MAX-iR
 - Ensures matching resolution and apodization
 - Important for light MW gases which have very narrow rotational absorption bands
- Optimized regions for ethylene and siloxanes based on spectral residuals
- Determined other VOCs in fingerprint not accounted for in model:
 - Propylene
 - Limonene



Thermo Fisher

ThermoFisher scientific

Optimized Method Results – CO₂

- Acquired 0.1% to 1.0% concentration CO₂ calibration spectra to "fill in" calibration gap
- Adjusted primary quant region from 3650cm⁻¹ to 960cm⁻¹
- Created excellent peak matching of CO₂ spectrum across mid-IR
 - Eliminated bias in CO measurements.
 - Decreased residuals in fingerprint region.



Optimized Method Results – Moisture

- Adjusted H₂O spectral quant region in a "quiet" CH₄ spectral region (3400 to 3500 cm-1)
 - Allowed for accurate quantification without bias from CH₄
- Created excellent peak matching of H₂O spectrum across mid-IR
 - Improved quantification of all components.



Thermo Fisher

Thermo Fisher SCIENTIFIC

Optimized Method - Biomethane Absolute Purity



Unknown Identification



Thermo Fisher

SCIENTIFIC

Unknown Identification



Optimized Method Results

Ribe Biogas – Product Gas – 20/11/2024

Quant Method	Original			Optimized				
Calculation	Min	Мах	Average	σ	Min	Max	Average	σ
Ammonia (ppmv)	-	-	-	-	-0.01	0.07	0.02	0.02
Carbon Dioxide (%v)	0.53	0.78	0.66	0.06	0.63	0.98	0.80	0.10
Carbon Monoxide (ppmv)	-2.21	-1.99	-2.14	0.05	0.52	0.76	0.64	0.07
Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane (ppmv)	-0.63	-0.33	-0.48	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01
Ethylene (ppmv)	-3.78	-3.19	-3.49	0.18	1.69	2.31	1.97	0.21
Moisture (ppmv)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane (ppmv)	-1.41	-0.67	-1.03	0.21	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01
Hexamethyldisiloxane (ppmv)	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.01
Limonene (ppmv)	-	-	-	-	0.73	1.64	1.29	0.25
Methane (%v)	98.98	99.28	99.11	0.06	98.99	99.22	99.08	0.05
Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (ppmv)	0.82	1.55	1.20	0.21	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00
Octamethyltrisiloxane (ppmv)	0.06	0.12	0.08	0.01	-0.01	0.07	0.03	0.02
Propylene (ppmv)	_	-	-	-	3.29	4.12	3.75	0.19

Recommendations for Optimal Detection



Periodically monitor the MAX-iR "laser frequency" by running a water spectrum in the diagnostics menu.

2

Periodically span the 99.99% CH4 spectrum using a reference CH4 cylinder.

3

Use stainless steel heated lines for sampling to maintain sample integrity.





National Metrology Institute

VSL Welcome Met4H2 workshop

Elvira Huizeling – Director Operations

March 27th, 2025

VSL: National Metrology Institute of the Netherlands

Metrology is the science of measurement.

Mol – hoeveelheid stof Candela – lichtsterkte Kilogram – gewicht Meter – lengte Seconde – tijd Ampere – electrische stroomsterkte Kelvin – absolute temperatuur





SL VSL: National Metrology Institute of the Netherlands

The Card Cardina

introduction VSL

- National (primary) measurement standards
- Private organisation with public task
- ~100 colleagues, 50% MSc PhD
- Independent and reliable
- Internationally active
- Service package:
 - Standards
 - Innovation (research & development)
 - Data science
 - Calibrations
 - Reference materialen
 - Consultancy
 - Metering reviews
 - VSL CMC Certificates
 - Metrology College

National Metrology Measurements Beyond all doubt Institute











Introduction VSL



- Chemistry
- Mass, Pressure, Vacuum
- Electricity
- Geometry
- Ionizing radiation
- Optics
- Flow (LD, HD, VL, LNG)
- Temperature and Humidity
- Time and Frequency
- Data Science and Modelling



National Metrology Institute







Trade





Science



Health









Introduction VSL

Moving towards 2030 and 2050 with hydrogen

360

340

320

300

280



Conversion



•

•

•

=



VSL Research: H₂, CO₂

Gas transport	Domestic/distribution grids	Mobility	CCUS
HyTROS (2024-2029) H2 primary standard, Fase I, Fase II, Fase III (2024 – 2027) H2FlowTrace (2024 - 2027) DNV – H2Met JIP 100 % H2 (2024 - 2025) CryoMet (2025 - 2028) SmartGasNet (2025 - 2028) MetNH3Energy (2025 -	 Met4H2 (2022-2025) HyTROS (2024-2029) H2FlowTrace (2024 - 2027) SmartGasNet (2025 - 2028) 	 Green Transport Delta (NL project) CryoMet (2025 - 2028) MetroHyVe3 (2025 - 2028) Cool-pipe/LiT (2025 - 2029) 	 MetCCUS (2022 – 2025)
			<figure></figure>

993.28



Adriaan van der Veen, VSL









4





National Metrology Institute MET4H₂

Measurement infrastructure for the hydrogen supply chain

Adriaan van der Veen

Project coordinator

M30 Workshop Met4H₂ Delft, The Netherlands, 27 March 2025



Need and drivers

- "Unless there are rapid and large-scale reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, limiting warming [...] to
- MET4H₂ 1.5 °C will be beyond reach" [IPCC, 2021]
 - European Green Deal (EGD) is Europe's response to decarbonise energy use and to shift to renewable energy sources
 - Hydrogen, produced from electricity from renewable sources, is at the centre of this energy transition
 - To make the transition, hydrogen supply chains need support by good measurements for health, safety, environment and fiscal purposes
 - Proposal addresses the stakeholder needs as documented by the EMN Energy Gases, supports actions needed in the short-term as well as in the longer-term





Institute 8-4-2025

National Metrology



We need to get started NOW!

MET4H₂



Source: IEA, Energy Technology Perspectives, 2020





Partners





Hydrogen supply chains: measurement support services

- Health Safety and Environment
- Flow meter calibration for hydrogenenriched natural gas and hydrogen
- Quality assurance for hydrogen, now also for non-transport applications
- Sensor testing and qualification
- Billing and fiscal regimes
- ... supplementing services coming from previous projects for transport applications, storage, and quality monitoring



National



Health, safety and environment (WP1)



- Primary standards for leak flow rate measurements (10^{-6} to 10^{-9}) mol s⁻¹
- Characterisation methods for permeation analysis of sealings, liners etc. (-40 to 120) °C, (0.1 to 10) MPa, (10 to 90) % RH
 - Validation protocols and test rigs for hydrogen sensors (hydrogen and impurity content)
 - Measurement standards for measuring odorant levels in hydrogen-enriched natural gas and hydrogen (sulfurous and sulfur-free odorants)





[laboratory performing analysis]





MET4H₂

National Metrology Institute

8-4-2025

Flow measurement (WP2)

- Overview of the state-of-the-art in flow metering of hydrogen and hydrogen blends
- Intercomparison of flow measurement standards for hydrogen-enriched natural gas
- Flow standards for domestic gas meters for hydrogen, including assessment of impurity impact (up to 2 %)
- Development of metrological traceability chains for large-scale hydrogen transportation







National Metrology Institute

8-4-2025

Hydrogen quality (WP3)

- Development of gas sampling methods for online and offline use
- Humidity standards for the amount fraction water in hydrogen (up to 6 MPa)
- Measurement standards for impurities typical for alkaline electrolysers (e.g., chlorine, hydrogen chloride, and water)
- Measurement standards for hydrogen quality during transportation (e.g., odorisation compounds, ammonia)







MET4H₂

Uncertainty in fiscal metering (WP4)

- Development of a framework for the uncertainty evaluation of the total quantity and energy provided
- Improvement of the uncertainty evaluation by taking into account the dependencies between measurement results
- Practical evaluation of natural gas and hydrogen-enriched natural gas data
- Practical evaluation of hydrogen and liquid hydrogen data







National Metrology Institute

8-4-2025

Project outputs

- Measurement infrastructure supporting the safe application of hydrogen
- MET4H₂ Understanding of impact of impurities on hydrogen flow metering
 - CMCs for flow metering of hydrogen mixed with natural gas
 - Pathways for dissemination of metrological traceability of (high-volume) hydrogen flow metering
 - Good practice guide on hydrogen quality along the supply chain
 - Novel spectroscopic methods for, e.g., Cl₂, NH₃, and a validated infrastructure for total sulfur in hydrogen
 - Humidity standard for water dewpoint measurement in hydrogen gas grids
 - Good practice guide for fiscal metering of hydrogenenriched natural gas and hydrogen





Save the date!

Topics:



- Standards for hydrogen leak measurement
- **MET4H2** 2. Performance assessment of hydrogen sensors
 - 3. Calibration of flow meters for grade A hydrogen
 - 4. Traceability chains for hydrogen flow metering
 - 5. Comparison of humidity standards for hydrogen
 - 6. Traceable quality monitoring of hydrogen
 - 7. Evaluation of measurement uncertainty in fiscal metering
 - 8. Hydrogen metering for custody transfer



Final workshop: **18 September 2025**







Interested? Want to be involved?

Contact us at <u>avdveen@vsl.nl</u> or visit our website at <u>https://met4h2.eu</u>

"The project 21GRD05 (Met4H2) has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and by the Participating States."







Metrology for hydrogen supply chains (Met4H2)



VSL

MET4H₂

ENERGY GASES



Testing rigs for hydrogen sensors



Workshop Met4H2 Delft, The Netherlands, 2025-03-27 Karine Arrhenius, Sandra Hultmark, Oliver Büker, RISE Shirin Khaki, NPL




Introduction

- The competitiveness of the hydrogen supply chain depends directly on its safety and the safety of the facility where hydrogen is used, stored or transported
- Hydrogen has a very broad flammability range (4 to 74% in air) and is prone to leaks due to its small molecular size, less dense than air
- Chemical sensors respond to a particular analyte in a selective and reversible way, and are crucial technology for the safe use of hydrogen





Safety hydrogen sensors

Monitor the level of hydrogen to detect and/or quantify hydrogen leak: can be used to trigger alarms and activate ٠ ventilation or shut down systems to prevent hydrogen to reach flammable levels. Their working range usually covers at least up to the LEL. Current applications: Room/area monitoring for safety where hydrogen leakage may occur e.g. battery, detection of leaked hydrogen, process monitoring and control, stationary and mobile fuel cell applications











Hydrogen purity sensors

- Are used to monitor the quality of hydrogen. Example of application: quality control process to check the compliance with the requirements in the international standards (ISO 14687: 2019 or EN17124:2022) and ISO 19880-8:2024) for hydrogen fuel.
- Sensors need to be able to detect low level of components such as O2, CO, H2S, H2O in pure hydrogen.
- Limited availability: manufacturers mainly propose existing solutions for other matrices (air, N2).
- Must be checked for hydrogen by ensuring that the hydrogen itself will not give rise to a signal before further testing.
- These sensors must be intrinsically safe





ROLOGY

EURAME



EURAM

Hydrogen in gas mixtures sensors

- Hydrogen can be injected into the existing natural gas network where it can be transported to the consumers.
- Amount of hydrogen must be controlled so the H2/CH4 mixture satisfies the gas quality requirements of the pipeline set by legislations and standards.
- H2 produced by steam methane reforming reaction: Gas produced contains 2 to 10 vol-% CH4 as residual







What do we do in Met4H2?

- Review of the state-of-the-art including techniques, existing protocols, test rigs, applications
- Development of a protocol to metrologically test sensors
- Development of two rigs to test sensors
 - 1. NPL: rig able to test 1 to 5 sensors for at least one contaminants in H2
 - 2. RISE: rig able to test different types of sensors
- Test of the protocol using both rigs
- Write guideline on validation, calibration and verification of sensors



Protocol



Two common methods to test sensors



Flow-through test



Chamber test









MET4H₂

Testing of each metric clearly defined in a table

What to do	Evaluation of results	Pressure conditions	Comments	
6-15 replicates for at least 10	Calculate the standard	0.8 to 1.2 bar, kept constant	For sticky" impurities*, the	
min during a short timescale	deviation of the replicates	within ±0.1 bar throughout	duration of the test should be	
using a single test gas having		the duration of the test	extended (to the time	
a volume fraction at the		15°C and 25°C kept constant	needed to obtain a stable	
midpoint of the measuring		within ±2 °C throughout the	signal). A reference analytical	
range using a flow at the		duration of the test	instrument can be used to	
midpoint of the flow interval.		20 % and 80 % within ±10 %	confirm that the sensor is	
Calculate the standard		throughout the duration of	exposed to the amount of	
deviation		the test.	analyte present in the test	
			gas	





METROLOGY

PARTNERSHIP

EURAME

Protocol

Covers: Precision **Trueness**/accuracy **Response time** Stability and drift Selectivity or cross-interference Limit of quantification Nominal range, saturation Resolution Hysteresis **Reversibility**











Sensor performance evaluation: precision

The consistency of repeated measurements and is a measure of the standard deviation of results obtained by carrying replicate measurements. The precision can be expressed as repeatability



Replicates at 2.153 vol-% (around 54%LEL) LEL= Lower explosive limit Lowest concentration of a gas that can ignite and cause explosion if an ignition source is present.

EUROPEAN PARTNERSHIP



Sensor performance evaluation: Response time

T90 corresponds to the time to reach 90% of the applied target gas concentration or its stable reading. The recovery time **T10** is defined as the time to fall to 10% of final value after step removal of measured variable.



Sensor performance evaluation: Crosssensitivity

Sensors are designed to be selective to a specific compound or to a certain type of compounds.

In the presence of some nontargeted compounds, a signal may be produced leading to errors in the measurement of the target compound (either higher or lower than predicted).

RI. SE







Stability

Drift is a temporal change in the response of an instrument to a constant concentration. Drift implies that the performance of a measuring instrument changes, and re-calibration must be performed.









Rig for sensor testing impurities



- Rapid change of amount fraction;
- Rapid change of pressure up to 10 barg (140 psig);
- Constant monitoring of temperature of the gas;
- External validation of amount fraction delivered to sensor via a parallel line to NPL calibrated gas analyser.
- Validation of amount fraction generated by the step changing facility via a parallel line to NPL calibrated gas analyser.



Achievements: compatible with most contaminants in H2 (i.e., O₂ from 0.5 to 20 µmol/mol); fast response (< 20 seconds)













Resolution

ument B (µmol/mol)

from Instr

Measurem



Initial generated amount fraction (μmol/mol) on step changing	Generated amount fraction (µmol/mol) on step changing	Generated step incerment	Change incerment recorded by Sensor A	Change incerment recorded by Sensor B
1.038	1.534	0.496	0.498	0.248
1.534	2.029	0.495	0.610	0.228
2.029	2.524	0.494	0.567	0.257
2.524	3.017	0.493	0.547	0.215







Guidelines



Validation of sensors

Implies demonstrating that a given sensor is able to perform the measurements it is intended to do. Evaluation of the metrics and comparison of the results with end-user's needs

Verification of sensor

Can be defined as the process of ensuring that the data provided by the sensors remains accurate and consistent over time.

Other definitions: checking the performances against specifications provided by the sensor's developer or site verification: check some metrics online



Guidelines



Adjustment of sensor

Process entails adjusting the response of a sensor to align its output accurately with its input by a recognized reference. This operation can be needed if the sensor's output show a bias or a drift of response with time

Calibration of sensor

Operation performed on a sensor that, under specified conditions

- 1) Established a relation between the values with associated uncertainties provided by measurement standards and corresponding indications with associated uncertainties of the sensor
- 2) Uses this information to establish a relation for obtaining a measurement result from an indication given by the sensor









993.28



Edvardas Venslovas, JV















NOVEL FACILITIES FOR HYDROGEN FLOW METERS

Stakeholder Workshop 27th of March 2025, Delft Edvardas Venslovas, Justervesenet, on behalf of WP2 partners





PARTNERS IN WP2

THE EUROPEAN GAS REBEARCH GROUP

und -prüfung



für Angewandte Energieforschung

OVERVIEW OF WP2 OBJECTIVES

MET4H₂

- Develop **measurement standards** to calibrate and validate flow meters under actual conditions of pressure and temperature, e.g., at fiscal metering points,
- such that they can be used to accurately quantify flow rates of hydrogen (+HENG) through the hydrogen supply chain,
- and to facilitate compliance wrt. OIML R137 (Gas meters), OIML R140 (Measuring systems for gaseous fuels), and the MID.

TASKS



1

Collection of hydrogen flow metering results

2

Intercomparison with blends of hydrogen and natural gas

3

Domestic meter accuracy hydrogen with impurities

4

Traceability chain for large-scale hydrogen transportation

TASKS – OUTPUT



1

Collection of hydrogen flow metering results

- Technical report
 - Status of European gas distribution grid (available: <u>https://met4h2.eu/ news-and-</u> <u>publications/public</u> <u>ations/</u>)
 - LH2 supply chains
 - Evaluation of existing gas models for gas flow suitable for hydrogen (available, same link as above)

2

Intercomparison with blends of hydrogen and natural gas

 Report on intercomparison of flow metering for blends of H2 up to 20 % and NG

3

Domestic meter accuracy hydrogen with impurities

 D3: Paper on H2 flow metering on blends of NG and up to 20 % H2 blends, and gas mixtures with 98 % H2

4

Traceability chain for large-scale hydrogen transportation

- D4: Technical report
 - Flow rates
 >0.2 kg/min
 - 3 options for ensuring traceability

MOTIVATION

• Survey of stakeholders on their

traceability and flow measurement

needs

- Contacted 75 people, 18 responses
- Targeted mainly:
- Shipping
- Storage
- Production









How is your company involved with hydrogen?

MOTIVATION



Transportation method



MOTIVATION





MET4H₂

Other category: used mainly to indicate that «no fiscal meter is installet yet» (4 answers), or different technology (2 answers)

MOTIVATION



What pressure ranges are applied? 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 Less than 2 bar 2 bar to 10 bar 50 bar to 200 bar More than 200 bar





SURVEY RESULTS



Clearly, there is a gap. This project will make plans and strategies to cover the gap.

MET4H₂

FACILITIES

New facilities



Facility under construction

C METAS

• Planned facility

Justervesenet

• Existing facilities







FACILITIES (BACKGROUND)

New facilities



Facility under construction



Planned facility



Existing facilities







Background: partners outlined in red started design and construction work on primary H₂ flow standards, as part of the work in the EMPIR project MetHyInfra. All of them pVTt type systems.

https://www.methyinfra.ptb.de/theproject/



METAS FACILITY



- Under construction, ready for H₂ in September 2025
- Primary standard (pVTt)
- Flow rate range: (0 180) Nm³/h
- Uncertainty < 0.3 % (k=2)
- Gases: H₂, HENG, N₂





Contact person: Marc de Huu, METAS.

• **Planned** — tender published

- Not available in time
- Primary or secondary level
- Flow rate range: 0 100 Sm³/h
- Uncertainty < 0.3 % (k=2)
- New: adapted with a new line for domestic gas meter testing
- Gases: H2, NG, blends, N2

Contact person: Edvardas Venslovas, Justervesenet.





JV FACILITY

CESAME FACILITY

Adaptation of hydrogen flow standards for NG blends

- CESAME: validation tests ongoing
- First results with MetHyInfra WP2 nozzles obtained.
- Results compared to CESAME, METAS, NEL results.
- These results seem to be in line. (See figure)
- Characteristics of the bench:
 - 1-80 bar for nozzles calibration
 - 1 bar for meters calibration
 - 0.4 kg/h 30 kg/h
 - Targeted uncertainty 0.3 %
 - Air, H₂, N₂, CH₄, blends







Contact person: Hamidou Soumare, Cesame.

CESAME FACILITY

Adaptation of hydrogen flow standards for NG blends

- CESAME: validation tests ongoing
- First results with MetHyInfra WP2 nozzles obtained.
- Results compared to CESAME, METAS, NEL results.
- These results seem to be in line. (See figure)
- Characteristics of the bench:
 - 1-80 bar for nozzles calibration
 - 1 bar for meters calibration
 - 0.4 kg/h 30 kg/h
 - Targeted uncertainty 0.3 %
 - Air, H₂, N₂, CH₄, blends




VSL FACILITY

- Calibration facility for household gas meters using 98 % hydrogen blends (VSL)
- Flow rate range: 0 18 m³/h
- Pressure: atmospheric
- Tested with various gases, and with helium
 - Calibration curve constructed based on testing. Behaviour for hydrogen assumed on the universal working of drum-type gas meters



Front and rear parts of the drum-type gas meter (the reference)

Contact person: Marcel Workamp, VSL.



EXISTING FACILITIES — FORCE AND VSL



FORCE Piston Prover Flow rate range: $2 - 400 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ Pressure: 1 - 66 bar Upgraded to work with HENG for this project.



VSL Gas Oil Piston Prover Flow rate range: $3 - 230 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ Pressure: 1 - 62 bar



Vationa Metrology



EuReGa participants

Contact person: Kurt Rasmussen, FORCE.

Contact person: Marcel Workamp, VSL.

TESTING — TWO SETS OF TESTS



Domestic meter testing (98%H2 + impurities)







VSI

National Metrology Institute



Justervesenet



Intercomparison (HENG, 20% H2)







(1): Participating with domestic gas meter facility for H₂, not primary standard

TESTING — DOMESTIC GAS METERS





Test different technologies:

- Thermal mass
- Rotary meter
- USM

Not an intercomparison. Meters not necessarily circulated.

Intercomparison (HENG, 20% H2)







TESTING — DOMESTIC GAS METERS



Central question: Are the domestic gas meters within MPE of class 1.0 or 1.5 in MID? Does the presence of impurities up to 2 % by vol. alter behavior?

VSI

National Metrology Institute

Intercomparison (HENG, 20% H2)







Test different technologies:

- Thermal mass
- Rotary meter
- USM

INTERCOMPARISON

Domestic meter testing (98 % H2 + impurities)









(ITRON Delta S-Flow G100 2" meter)





• $Q_{max} = 160 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}, P_{max} = 101.2 \text{ bar}$



Q_{max} = 200 m³/h, P_{max} = 98 bar(g)
 FLOWSIC550

SUMMARY



- 2 new facilities finished
- 1 facility under construction, 1 facility planned
- 1 facility introduced hydrogen measurement
- 2 sets of tests planned
 - \circ Domestic meters
 - Rotary + USM



Thank you!

Edvardas Venslovas, on behalf of

WP₂ partners

Contact: eve@justervesenet.no

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or EURAMET. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

The project has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and by the Participating States.





Co-funded by the European Union





993.28



Rugiada Cuccaro & Rezvaneh Nobakht (INRiM)











268.38



21GRD05 - Metrology for the hydrogen supply chain M30 Stakeholder Workshop

27th of March 2025, Delft



IMPROVING WATER VAPOUR MEASUREMENT IN INDUSTRIAL HYDROGEN APPLICATIONS

R. Cuccaro*, R. Nobakht, V. Fernicola

<u>Contacts:</u> <u>r.cuccaro@inrim.it</u> <u>r.nobakht@inrim.it</u> v.fernicola@inrim.it



Energy Transition Challenges





Hydrogen sources impact on gas quality







Renewable hydrogen: refers to hydrogen produced through the electrolysis of water and with the electricity stemming from renewable sources. The full life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions of the production of renewable hydrogen are close to zero.

BLUE

Fossil-basedhydrogenwith carbon capture:A subpart of fossil-basedhydrogen,butwheregreenhousegasesemitted asparthydrogenproductionprocess are captured.

Fossil-based hydrogen: refers to hydrogen produced through a variety of processes using fossil fuels as feedstock, mainly the reforming of natural gas or the gasification of coal. This represents the bulk of hydrogen produced today.



trade market.

Quality control of H₂ leads to an increase in the performance and lifetime of fuel cells and improves the fair



H_2 injected in the NG grid

	Parameter	Unit	Min	Max
	Hydrogen	mol - %	98.0	-
	Carbon monoxide	ppm	-	20
	Total sulphur content		-	21
Carbon dioxide		ppm	-	20
Hydrocarbons (including Methane)		mol-%	-	1.5
	Inerts (Nitrogen, Argon, Helium)	mol-%	-	2.0
	Oxygen	ppm (mol)	-	10
	Total halogenated compounds	ppm (mol)		0.05
Amount fractior 58 ppm	<mark>i limit</mark> drocarbon lewpoint	°C at 1-70 bar(a)		-2
Water	dewpoint °C a	ıt 70 bar(a)	-	-8

EASEE-gas, CBP 2022-001/01 Hydrogen Quality Specification

H_2 FOR PEM FUEL CELL ROAD VEHICLE APPLICATION

Contaminant	Amount fraction limit / ppm
Total hydrocarbons	2
Methane	100
Oxygen	5
Helium	300
Nitrogen	300
Argon	300
Carbon dioxide	2
Carbon monoxide	0.2
Total sulphur compounds	0.004
Formaldehyde	0.2
Formic acid	0.2
Ammonia	0.1
Halogenated compounds	0.05
Water	5 ppm

One of the most challenging impurities for hydrogen quality control is **water vapour**.

Water vapour carried over into the hydrogen gas creates significant problems within distribution, storage, HRS, on-board vehicle systems.

- Water condensation on HRS nozzle
- Acid formation

Improving measurement in the range **5 ppm < x**_w **< 50 ppm in the field**





- Common practice: sensor calibration in Air/N₂
- Need: sensor calibration in H₂ for traceable and robust quality control





State of the art of industrial process measuring devices



Example of process measuring devices for traceable measurements of water in H₂NG mixtures and pure H₂.





Industrial measuring technology currently implemented in NG transmission grids



TDLAS 23% CMH 8% 61% IMPEDANCE SENSORS

CMH: Chilled mirror hygrometer QCM: Quartz microbalance hygrometers TDLAS: Tunable diode laser absorption spectrometers



THE PROJECT

MET4H₂

The aim is to develop **calibration** and **measurement methods**, as well as improve standards, to facilitate reliable, traceable, and accurate onsite measurement for the safe use of pure hydrogen in leak testing, flow measurement, material compatibility assessment, and **quality assessment**.

WP3 – HYDROGEN GAS QUALITY

The aim of this work package is to develop the metrological tools to ensure reliable and traceable measurements necessary to apply appropriate quality control on hydrogen throughout the supply chain to support the transition into green hydrogen. Hydrogen gas quality is a critical parameter in an emerging supply chain with a large scope of applications (i.e. home boiler, industry heat, power to electricity, or transport).

- **TASK 3.1 DEVELOPMENT OF GAS SAMPLING METHODS SUITABLE FOR ON-LINE AND OFF-LINE USE**
- **TASK 3.2 IMPROVING MEASUREMENT QUALITY AND CALIBRATION FOR WATER VAPOUR AMOUNT FRACTION**
- □ TASK 3.3 GAS QUALITY FOR ALKALINE ELECTROLYSER AND INDUSTRIAL DEMONSTRATION
- □ TASK 3.4 GAS QUALITY FOR HYDROGEN DISTRIBUTION



Improving measurement quality and calibration for water vapour amount fraction



The objective is to provide the hydrogen community with a reliable and proven system to generate reference water vapour values.

Development or upgrade of humidity generator in \boldsymbol{H}_2

- INRIM will design, develop and validate a transportable precision humidity generator (TPHG).
- Water vapour amount fraction between 0.5 µmol/mol and 50 µmol/mol at pressures up to 5.5 MPa (or equivalent between -55 °C and -10 °C pressure dew point).
- Target uncertainty of water amount fraction between 3 % and 5 %.
- VSL will upgrade its current high-pressure frost-point generator to be used with hydrogen in the range of 0.5 µmol/mol up to 100 µmol/mol up to 6 MPa.

PREPARATION OF PRIMARY GAS REFERENCE MATERIAL OF WATER VAPOUR IN GAS CYLINDERS

NPL will trial the preparation of primary gas reference material of 0.5 μmol/mol water vapour in 3 types of hydrogen gas cylinders. NPL will evaluate the accuracy and stability of the cylinders.

ORGANISATION OF THE FIRST EVER COMPARISON INVOLVING DYNAMIC HUMIDITY GENERATORS IN HYDROGEN.

D5: "Report on the results of the intercomparison on trace water in hydrogen standards over the nominal range from 0.5 µmol/mol to 50 µmol/mol with conclusions on the recommendations for future improvements". (NPL, INRIM, VSL, DTU, POLITO)



INRiM - Precision Humidity Generator (PHG) for H₂





TECHNICAL CHARACTERISTICS:

- **Frost point temperature:** -55 °C < $T_{\rm fp}$ < -10 °C at pressure ٠
- Water vapor amount fraction: 0.5 μ mol/mol < x_w < 50 μ mol/mol ٠
- Pressure: 0.1 MPa < P < 5.5 MPa; tested up to 3 MPa ٠
- Target Uncertainty: $3 \% < u_r(x_w) < 5 \%$

System in operation



Heat exchanger and saturator





VSL - High-Pressure Dewpoint Generator





MFC: Mass Flow Controller; **PC**: Pressure Controller; **PM**: Pressure Meter (H₂ resistant); **SPRT**: Reference thermometer; **PR**: Proportional Release valve.

TECHNICAL CHARACTERISTICS:

- Frost point temperature: -80 °C < $T_{\rm fp}$ < +20 °C at pressure
- Water vapor amount fraction: 0.5 μ mol/mol < x_w < 100 μ mol/mol
- Pressure: 0.1 MPa < P < 6 MPa; tested up to 6 MPa
- Target Uncertainty: $3 \% < u_r(x_w) < 5 \%$

Contact: Matthijs Panman <u>mpanman@vsl.n</u>





NPL - Multi-gas, multi-pressure primary standard humidity generator







Contact: Paul Carroll paul.carroll@npl.co.uk



- Frost point temperature: -60 °C < $T_{\rm fp}$ < +15 °C at pressure
- Water vapor amount fraction: 0.5 μ mol/mol < x_w < 0.5 %
- Pressure: 0.1 MPa < P < 3 MPa; tested up to 3 MPa

Ongoing inter-laboratory comparison of water vapour realisations



NPL, INRIM, VSL, DTU, POLITO: Inter-laboratory comparison of water vapour realisations and measurements in hydrogen in the range of amount fractions between nominally 0.5 μ mol mol⁻¹ and 50 μ mol mol⁻¹

Table 2 Measurement values at 0.2 MPa test pressure:



Nominal Frost-point temperature / °C	Nominal equivalent water vapour amount fraction / µmol mol-1		
-60.7	5		
-52.3	15		
-42.3	50		

Inter-laboratory comparison or standards for trace water in hydrogen over the nominal range -60 °C to -15 °C frost-point temperature (0.5 µmol mol⁻¹ to 50 µmol mol⁻¹)

Inter-laboratory comparison protocol



Figure 1 Overview of the comparison schedule

Table 3 Measurement values at 3 MPa test pressure:

Nominal Frost-point	Nominal equivalent
temperature / °C	water vapour amount
	fraction / μ mol mol $^{-1}$
-59.0	0.5
-40.0	5
-17.3	50

Table 1 List of the transfer standard hygrometers.

Manufacturer	Model	Serial number	Measurement principle	Operating range	Inlet pressure	Compatible gas species
					range / MPa	
MBW	373 LX-HP	14-0610	Chilled-mirror condensation	-60 °C to +20 °C	0.1 to 3	Air, N ₂ , H ₂
Tiger Optics	F7700 -ATM	6159- 145-0	CRDS water vapour spectrometer	0 – 1750 µmol mol-1	0.2 to 0.96	Air, N ₂ , H ₂
DTU	Proto- type	n/a	Far-UV spectroscopy	1 - 300 μmol mol-1	0.1 to 4 (H ₂) 0.1 to 10 (N ₂)	N ₂ , H ₂

NPL - H₂O reference cylinder production with primary humidity traceability

Preparation of primary gas reference material of 0.5 μmol mol⁻¹ water vapour in cylinders. Novel method transfers NPL multi-gas, multi-pressure primary standard humidity generator traceability to binary H₂O gas mixtures in cylinders.

NPL is evaluating the accuracy and stability of three surface coating types of cylinders + UNTREATED.



10 litre cylinders (Coating types A,B,C and UNTREATED) filled to 3 MPa with 0.5 μ mol mol⁻¹ water vapour in hydrogen.

Initial measurements of instrument measuring gas from static cylinder all in agreement to within $\pm 0.02 \ \mu$ mol mol⁻¹ when compared with measurements of dynamic source of reference gas from NPL generator.

After 2 months measurements from cylinders of two coating types show promising stability.





Calibration of industrial dew-point transmitter in N₂ and H₂



Online and onsite monitoring at an alkaline electrolyser at the Torino Airport

The demonstration was carried out on the 3 kW AEM electrolyser installed at Torino Airport.



Online and onsite monitoring at an alkaline electrolyser at the Torino Airport

The demonstration was carried out on the 3 kW AEM electrolyser installed at Torino Airport.



ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI RICERCA METROLOGICA

Online and onsite monitoring at a hydrogen production plant

The demonstration was carried out at the Nippon Gases production plant in San Salvo - Italy





Online and onsite monitoring at a hydrogen production plant

The demonstration was carried out at the Nippon Gases production plant in San Salvo - Italy





D6: Good practice guide on metrologically traceable quality monitoring in the hydrogen supply chain, including offline measurements and onsite calibration, and recommendations for future improvements of ISO 19880-8 and ISO 21087

A3.3.8 M36	INRIM, BAM, CEM, DFM, NPL, PTB, VSL, VTT, DTU, ENVIPARK, Nippon Gases, and POLITO will review the good practice guide from A3.3.7.	INRIM, BAM, CEM, DFM,
	Once agreed by the consortium, the coordinator on behalf of INRIM, BAM, CEM, DFM, NPL, PTB, VSL, VTT, DTU, ENVIPARK, Nippon Gases, and POLITO will submit the guide to EURAMET as deliverable D6 : "Good practice guide on metrologically traceable quality monitoring in the hydrogen supply chain, including offline measurements and onsite calibration, and recommendations for future improvements of ISO 19880-8 and ISO 21087".	NPL, PTB, VSL, VTT, DTU, ENVIPARK, Nippon Gases, POLITO



Acknowledgments



This work has been carried out within the project "**Metrology for the hydrogen supply chain**". The project has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed by European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and by the Participating States (Grant number: EPM 21GRD05 MET4H2).

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



This work has been carried out within the project "Novel methods of testing for measurement of natural gas and hydrogen mixtures". The project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon programme (Grant number:101101540 — THOTH2 — HORIZON-JTI-CLEANH2-2022-1)







Javis Nwaboh, PTB















Spectroscopic analysis of ammonia in high-purity hydrogen

Javis Nwaboh, Gourab Dutta-Banik, Victor Gorschelev, Volker Ebert, Andrea Pogany

Working group 3.42: Spectroscopic Gas Analysis and Reference data

Joint workshop EMN for Energy Gases (27.03.2025)

Introduction

- Hydrogen is an energy gas that is use in a variety of applications e.g. in fuel cells
- NH₃ is a contaminant in high purity Hydrogen
- Accurate an reliable methods are needed to quantify NH₃ in H₂ for quality control
- Laser absorption spectroscopy provide an option to develop traceable test methods based e.g. on an Optical Gas Standard (OGS)

H ₂ - Purity analysis	Analyte	Limit (ISO14687), µmol/mol
	СО	0.2
	H ₂ O	5.0
	NH₃	0.1
	O ₂	5.0
	CH₄	100
	HCI	0.05
	H ₂	NA



Optical Gas Standard (OGS)





An **Optical Gas Standard** is a laser spectrometer that can provide amount of substance fractions (concentration) that are **directly traceable to the SI**.

Example technique for OGS development



direct Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (dTDLAS)



Measurement technique: NH₃ measurements in H₂

Optical Feedback Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy (OF-CEAS)



Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
Braunschweig and Berlin
Infrastructure NH₃ measurements in H₂





Infrastructure NH₃ measurements in H₂





Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
Braunschweig und Berlin

H₂O background in N₂ matrix







Time / min

Summary

Adsorber, trap	H ₂ O level	1σ Η ₂ Ο
None	35.4 ppb	2.9 ppb
Liquid N ₂ trap (LN2-T)	20.9 ppb	4.8 ppb
Mölsieve (MS)	13.2 ppb	3.1 ppb
Getter (GT)	8.5 ppb	2.9 ppb
GT+MS	2.9 ppb	3.1 ppb

Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
Braunschweig and Berlin

Method development approach





- OGS: optical gas standard
- NT: Liquid Nitrogen trap
- MSA: Molecular sieve adsorber
- PEM: Proton Exchange Membrane
- PR: pressure regulator
- CRDS: Cavity ringdown spectroscopy
- OF-CEAS: Optical feedback cavity enhanced absoption spectroscopy

- 1. Test the instrument for NH₃ in N₂ **method development**
- 2. Perform NH_3 impurity measurements in H_2 application of method



Method development approach







Calibrated analyzer's issues: matrix effects

- For the same NH₃ amount fraction in different gas matrices, e.g.
 a) Nitrogen (N₂)
 - b) Hydrogen (H₂)



Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
Braunschweig and Berlin

Matrix

effects

Typical spectra NH₃ in N₂

- Example spectra of NH₃ in N₂
- 7 NH₃ ines are fitted
- α_{int} (thus line Area) is used for NH₃ amount fraction valuation
- NH₃ amount fractions are evaluated using the equation

$$x_{NH_3} = \frac{\alpha_{int} \cdot k_{\rm B} \cdot T}{S_T \cdot p}$$





Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt Braunschweig and Berlin 2018-05-07

Measurement results of NH_3 in N_2

Method validation

- Measurements @ 19.3 μmol/mol
 - \circ 1 σ = 33 nmol/mol
- NH₃ in N₂ results
 - $\odot~$ Gas mixture value: (19.30±0.97) $\mu mol/mol$
 - \circ Measured value: (19.70±0.99) µmol/mol
 - Measured value is in agreement with the cylinder-based gas mixture value
- A histogram of the results with a Gaus fit shows a normal distribution of the results around the mean value





Measurement results of NH₃ in H₂



Response time



Linearity

Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
Braunschweig and Berlin

National Metrology Institute

Measurement results of NH₃ in H₂



System stability



Precision

Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
Braunschweig und Berlin

Nationales Metrologieinstitut





- A spectrometric method for NH₃ measurement in high purity H₂ has been developed at PTB
- The capability of the OF-CEAS instrument has been tested for NH₃ amount fraction between 0.05 and 6 µmol/mol
- The instrument is being developed to be operated as an Optical Gas Standard for NH₃ measurement in H₂

Thanks for your attention!



MET4H₂



Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt Braunschweig and Berlin Bundesallee 100 38116 Braunschweig

Dr. Javis Nwaboh Telefon: +49 531 592-3156 E-Mail: javis.nwaboh@ptb.de

Acknowledgement

The project 21GRD05 Met4H2 project has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and by the Participating States









Serial correlation and autoregression in gas metering data

Federica Gugole, VSL

















National Metrology Institute On the autocorrelation of measurement results for gas volume and calorific value in fiscal metering in gas grids

Federica Gugole

Meng Li

Adriaan van der Veen



National Metrology Institute

Can we keep using the current gasInfrastructure once H2 joins the game?

A measuring station for fiscal metering often consists of

- Flow meter measuring the volume flow rate of the gas
- Gas chromatograph (GC) measuring the gas composition

The energy is then computed as

 $E = V \cdot H$

V is the normal volume

H is the calorific value









How to calculate the uncertainty is also part of the infrastructure!





Standards for the energy determination (ISO 15112, OIML R140) assume independence of measurement results

$$E_{tot} = \sum E_t = \sum V_t H_t$$

$$u^{2}(E_{tot}) = \sum u^{2}(E_{t}) = \sum E_{i}^{2}(u_{rel}^{2}(V_{t}) + u_{rel}^{2}(H_{t}))$$

This assumption might lead to costly errors once hydrogen is introduced in the gas grid Improvements investigated in Met4H2:

- Temporal correlations in subsequent measurements due to the continuous underlying process
- Correlations due to the instrumentation
- Error introduced by approximating the total with a finite sum



Two days of measurements with data points recorded every 15 mins \rightarrow 192 data points

Volume flow rate measured by an ultrasonic flow meter

Gas composition measured by a gas chromatograph Calorific value determined by an equation of state





L We consider the data after correcting for known errors



Corrections due to, e.g., drift or calibration errors should be applied to the data prior to the autocorrelation analysis

Data are transformed to their equivalent in normal conditions to avoid detecting correlations due to, e.g., changes in temperature or pressure

Remaining correlation is actual correlation in the measurand, and it is due to the inertia of the physical process







1. Segment the series in stationary blocks

2. Fit a time series model

3. Calculate uncertainties with and without correlations



1. Automatic data segmentation using change detection VSL methods

Two methods:

- BinSeg (binary segmentation)
 - Approximate method
 - Indicated to detect significant jumps
- PELT (Pruned Exact Linear Time)
 - Exact method
 - Detects also more subtle changes

Selected subseries are checked for stationarity via the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test



Example of segmentation results using BinSeg.





VSL 2. Time series analysis: some useful statistical tools

AR: autoregressive; expresses the current value as a linear combination of a finite number of past values of the same variable



MA: moving average; describes the dependence of the current value on the current and past values of another variable

ARMA: autoregressive moving average

ACF: autocorrelation function; measures the correlation between observations at different distances apart

PACF: partial ACF; computes the correlation between two variables with the linear effect on a third variable removed





VSL 2. The volume can be described by an AR(1) model

Three stationary subseries from the given data

(Partial) autocorrelation function shows correlation between V_t and V_{t-1}

We fit an autoregressive (AR) model of order 1 to the volume time series



Example of stationary subseries of the volume data, its ACF and PACF.





VSL 2. The calorific value can be described by an AR(2) model



Two stationary subseries from the given data

Partial autocorrelation function shows correlation between H_t , H_{t-1} and H_{t-2}

We fit an AR model of order 2 to the calorific value time series



Example of stationary subseries of the calorific value data, its ACF and PACF.



2. AR(1) and AR(2) describe well the volume and the calorific value data, respectively

Using the models fitted to the data, we generate 100 000 synthetic time series

VSL

National

Metrology Institute

The experimental data are within the 95% CI identified by the simulations

The individual simulations display similar behaviour as the experimental data

 \rightarrow The fitted models are a good representation of the experimental data



3. The evaluation of uncertainty does not include the instrumental measurement uncertainty



The law of propagation of uncertainty with correlated input quantity

$$u_c^2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 u^2(x_i) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} u(x_i, x_j)$$
$$E_{tot} = \sum_{t=1}^N E_t = \sum_{t=1}^N V_t H_t$$

Serial correlations influence only the uncertainty obtained by the statistical data analysis

Correlations due to, e.g., instrumentation are treated separately

3. In case of independent measurement results, the VSL uncertainty is given by the sample standard deviation

The law of propagation of uncertainty without correlated input quantity

$$u_c^2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 u^2(x_i)$$
$$E_{tot} = \sum_{t=1}^N E_t = \sum_{t=1}^N V_t H_t$$

The uncertainty of the volume and of the calorific data is calculated from the data as

$$\sigma_{V_t} = \operatorname{std}(\{V_t\}_{t=1,\dots,N})$$

$$\sigma_{H_t} = \operatorname{std}(\{H_t\}_{t=1,\dots,N})$$







3. When including the correlations, the (co)variance is given by the AR processes

AR(1)
$$V_t = \mu_{V_t} + \alpha V_{t-1} + Z_t$$

$$\sigma_{V_t}^2 = \gamma_{V_t}(0) = \frac{\sigma_{Z_t}^2}{(1 - \alpha^2)}$$
$$\gamma_{V_t}(1) = \alpha \gamma_{V_t}(0)$$

AR(2)
$$H_t = \mu_{H_t} + \beta_1 H_{t-1} + \beta_2 H_{t-2} + W_t$$

 $\sigma_{H_t}^2 = \gamma_{H_t}(0) = \frac{(1 - \beta_2)\sigma_{W_t}^2}{(1 + \beta_2)(1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)(1 + \beta_1 - \beta_2)}$

 $\gamma_{H_t}(1) = \beta_1 \gamma_{H_t}(0) / (1 - \beta_2)$ $\gamma_{H_t}(2) = (\beta_1^2 + \beta_2 - \beta_2^2) \gamma_{H_t}(0) / (1 - \beta_2)$





3. Extra care required to calculate the covariance of theVSL product of two random variables

The energy is given by the product of two random variables

Covariance of products of random variables

(Bohrnsted and Goldberger, 1969)

Cov(XY, UV)

= E[X]E[U]Cov(Y,V) + E[X]E[V]Cov(Y,U) + E[Y]E[U]Cov(X,V)+ E[Y]E[V]Cov(X,U) + Cov(X,U)Cov(Y,V) + Cov(X,V)Cov(Y,U)

This formula considers all the effects arising from the presence of covariances









3. The serial correlation increases the estimated uncertainty by circa 50 %

Relative difference calculated on simulated data

VSL

National

Institute

Metrology

 $\frac{u_{cor}(E_{tot}) - u_{iid}(E_{tot})}{u_{iid}(E_{tot})} \cdot 100\%$

Relative uncertainty $x \in \{cor, iid\}$

$$u_{x,rel}(E_{tot}) = \frac{u_x(E_{tot})}{E_{tot}} \cdot 100\%$$

Including the serial correlation increases the uncertainty by circa 50 % on average





National Metrology Institute

- 1. Segment the series in stationary blocks
 - BinSeg
 - PELT
- 2. Fit a time series model
 - AR(1) for the volume
 - AR(2) for the calorific value
- 3. Calculate uncertainties with and without correlations
 - Including the serial correlation leads to an increase in the uncertainty of circa 50 %



0.01

0.02

Relative uncertainty (%)

0.03

0.04



1. Correlation due to instrumentation



- 2. How to combine the serial correlation with the correlation due to instrumentation
- 3. Error introduced by approximating the total with a finite sum

Check Met4H2 website for updates! https://met4h2.eu/







Dr. Federica Gugole Data Science and Modelling fgugole@vsl.nl

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or EURAMET. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

The project has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and by the Participating States. EUROPEAN PARTNERSHIP



Co-funded by the European Union

METROLOGY PARTNERSHIP



993.28

nced impurity detection in hydrogen fuel: The role of preconcentration for high-sensitivity GCMS analysis

Kiran Piduru (Markes)















Advanced Impurity Detection in Hydrogen Fuel:

The Role of Preconcentration for High-Sensitivity GCMS Analysis

Kiran Piduru, PhD <u>kpiduru@markes.com</u>

Market Development Specialist



Met4H2 Workshop

Agenda for this Discussion

- Quick Intro- Markes
- Hydrogen Standard methods
- ASTM 7892 Amendments Method update
- Thermal Desorption Intro
- Hydrogen Sampling approaches for TD-GC-MS/SCD/FID
- TD-GCMS and TD-GCSCD data
- Instrumental Configuration for Energy Gases analysis



Markes International – Global leader in analytical thermal desorption systems

Over 25 years of trace volatile organic analysis

Wide range of products for sampling and analysis of trace volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds

- Expertise in VOCs and SVOCs analysis
- Global presence and collaborations with leading OEMs
- Future-proof instruments hydrogen compatible



Helping laboratories "Discover more – Deliver more" from every sample

SepSolve

SCHAUENBURG


Hydrogen Quality standards- Compliance

Maximum concentration of individual contaminants

Maximum concentration of individual contaminants (µmol/mol)	GB/T 37244 & SAE J2719	ISO 14687 EN 17124
Water	5	5
Total hydrocarbons	2	2
Methane	- /100	100
Oxygen	5	5
Helium	300	300
Nitrogen	100 / 300	300
Argon	100 / 300	300
Carbon dioxide	2	2
Carbon monoxide	0.2	0.2
Total sulfur compounds	0.004	0.004
Formaldehyde	0.01 / 0.2	0.2
Formic acid	0.2	0.2
Ammonia	0.1	0.1
Halogenated compounds	0.05	0.05
Particle concentration	1 mg/kg	1 mg/kg

ISO 14687:Hydrogen fuel quality-Product specification This Standard specifies the minimum quality characteristics of hydrogen fuel as distributed for utilization in vehicular and stationary applications.

CEN/EN 17124: Hydrogen fuel -Product specification and quality assurance for hydrogen refueling points dispensing gaseous hydrogen - Proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cell applications for vehicles.

GB/T 37244: Fuel specification for proton exchange membrane fuel cell vehicles-Hydrogen

SAE J2719 is US standard that establishes hydrogen fuel quality levels for fuel cell vehicles



Hydrogen quality standards

Maximum concentration of individual contaminants

Maximum concentration of individual contaminants (µmol/mol) Water Total hydrocarbons	GB/T 37244 & SAE J2719 5 2	ISO 14687 EN 17124 5 2	Acetylene Benzene, Decene, Ethylene, Heptane, alcohol, P Methanol etc.
Methane	- /100	100	
Oxygen	5	5	Hydrogen
Helium	300	300	Dimethyl
Nitrogen	100 / 300	300	Methyl mercanta
Argon	100 / 300	300	mercaptar
Carbon dioxide	2	2	Tetrahydr
Carbon monoxide	0.2	0.2	+ Acetald
Total sulphur compounds	0.004	0.004	
Formaldehyde	0.01 / 0.2	0.2	Chloroetha
Formic acid	0.2	0.2	Dichloroet
Ammonia	0.1	0.1	Tetrachlor
Halogenated compounds	0.05	0.05	Dichlorobe
Particle concentration	1 mg/kg	1 mg/kg	Chloroform

, Acetone, Butane, Decane, Cyclo-hexane, Ethane, Ethanol, Isobutane, isopropyl Propene, Propane, Octane, Toluene

sulphide sulphide sulphide ercaptan Ethyl n Tert-butyl rothiophene

ehyde

ane ethane 1,1thane roethylene ohexafluorobutane enzene n



Hydrogen Standard Test Methods

Fuel Quality Assurance R&D and Impurity Testing

- **ASTM D7892** Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Organic Halides, Total Non-Methane Hydrocarbons, and Formaldehyde in Hydrogen Fuel by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry.
- ASTM D7675 Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Hydrocarbons in Hydrogen by FID Based Total Hydrocarbon (THC) Analyzer
- ASTM D7676 Standard Practice for Screening Organic Halides Contained in Hydrogen or Other Gaseous Fuels
- ISO 21087 Gas analysis Analytical methods for hydrogen fuel -Proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cell applications for road vehicles
- **ISO 19880** Gaseous hydrogen- Fuelling stations
- **ASTM D7166** Standard Practice for Total Sulfur Analyzer Based Online/At-line for Sulfur Content of Gaseous Fuels

Relevant Hydrogen Standards & Practice





ASTM 7892 Method Amendments

Determination of Total Organic Halides, Total Non-Methane Hydrocarbons, and Formaldehyde in Hydrogen Fuel by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry **Cryogen Based**

ASTM 7892-22

4. Summary of Test Method Cryogen Based

4.1 The target compounds in Table 1 and Table 2, which may be contained in a 400 mL hydrogen sample, are cryogenically frozen or concentrated onto a glass bead trap at -150 °C. The target compounds are slowly desorbed by warming to 10 °C and transferred to a Tenax trap cooled to -60 °C using desorption flow rate of 10 mL/min. This process leaves water in the glass bead trap and dehydrates the sample. The Tenax trap is then desorbed by heating to 180 °C and the target compounds cyro-focused at -170 °C at the entrance to a GC column (see 6.5). The cyro-focusing section is then rapidly heated up to 80 °C to release the cryo-focused target compounds, which are eventually eluted from the column and analyzed using a mass spectrometer scanning from m/e 23 to 100 for initial 4.5 min and from m/e 34 to 550 the remaining analytical time. The retention times of the target compounds are listed in Table 1 and Table 2 under the chromatographic conditions in 6.5.

ASTM 7892-25

4.1.1 Cryogen System—The target compounds in Table 1 and Table 2, which may be contained typically in a 400 mL hydrogen sample, are cryogenically frozen or concentrated onto a glass bead trap at -150 °C. The target compounds are slowly desorbed by warming to 10 °C and transferred to a porous polymer trap cooled to -60 °C using a desorption flow rate of typically 10 mL/min. This process leaves water in the glass bead trap and dehydrates the sample. The polymer trap is then desorbed by heating to 180 °C and the target compounds cryo-focused at -170 °C at the head of the GC column (see 6.5). The cryo-focusing section is then rapidly heated up to 80 °C to release the cryo-focused target compounds, which are then eluted from the column and analyzed using a mass spectrometer scanning from m/e 23 to 100 for typically 4.5 min and from m/e 34 to 550 the remaining analytical time. The retention times of the target compounds are listed in Table 1 and Table 2 under the chromatographic conditions in 6.5.

4.1.2 Cryogen-free systems contains a water abstraction device and sorbent filled focusing trap. In these systems, the sample is drawn through an thermoelectric (Peltier) cooled **Non-Cryogen** empty glass trap held at -30 °C, in which water is removed, without the need for liquid cryogen, while target organic vapours pass through without loss. A second thermoelectric cooled sorbent focusing trap containing multiple sorbents ranging from weak to strong (see 6.7) is used to retain organic analytes over thea wide volatility and polarity range while any residual water is purged to vent. The sorbent trap is then heated rapidly to release the target analytes onto the GC column using a reverse flow of carrier gas, without the need to cryo-focus

analytes at the head of the GC capillary column.

Based

Single Lab Precision Study







Linearity: R2
0.9995
0.9999
0.9993
0.9990
0.9982
0.9992
0.9977
0.9993
0.9984
0.9998
0.9999



ASTM 7892-25 revised Standard

- Method has been reviewed using noncryogen pre-concentration technology
- Single Lab precision study was carried out to support standard method amendments
- Linearity: 0.25ppb standard all shown compounds R²>0.997
- Reproducibility: 10 replicate 0.25 ppb RSD shown ~2.87% for Organic Halides and ~2.34& for Non-methane Hydrocarbons compounds

D7892 - 25

TABLE 5 Estimated Repeatability At (@) Average (ppb(v)) of Non-Halogenated Non-Methane Hydrocarbons using a Cryo-TD System and Cryo-Free Pre-concentration System

	Cryogen preconcentration	Cryogen-free preconcentration	
	system	system	
Non-Methane	Estimated Repeatability At	Estimated Repeatability At (@)	
Hydrocarbons	(@) Average (ppb(v))	Average (ppb(v)) n=10	
1,2,4-	0.1 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Trimethylbenzen	1e		
1,3,5-	0.1 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Trimethylbenzen	ne l		
1,3-Butadiene	0.1 @ 1	0.01 @ 0.25	
1,4-Dioxane	0.3 @ 1	0.01 @ 0.25	
2-Butanone	0.3 @ 1	0.01 @ 0.25	
2-Hexanone	0.3 @ 1	0.41 @ 0.25	
4-Ethyltoluene	0.2 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
4-Methyl-2-	0.5 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Pentanone			
Acetone	0.5 @ 1	0.01 @ 0.25	
Ethene	0.7 @ 2	No data	
Benzene	0.1 @ 1	0.01 @ 0.25	
Cyclohexane	0.04 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Ethane	0.7 @ 3	No data	
Ethanol	0.8 @ 1	0.03 @ 0.25	
Ethyl Acetate	0.1 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Ethylbenzene	0.1 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Formaldehyde	2.3 @ 5	0.04 @ 0.25	
Heptane	0.5 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Hexane	0.1 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Isopropyl	0.4 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Alcohol			
Methyl tert-	0.2 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Butyl Ether			
Propane	0.6 @ 3	No data	
Propene	0.4 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Styrene	0.1 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Tetrahydrofuran	0.1 @ 1	0.01 @ 0.25	
Toluene	0.2 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Vinyl acetate	0.4 @ 1	0.15 @ 0.25	
Xylenes, m&p-	0.1 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	
Xylenes, o-	0.1 @ 1	0.02 @ 0.25	







How TD can be applied ? Thermal Desorption

Pre-concentration technique





Sampling and analytical options

Profiling or targeted analysis **Total** Total Halogenated Formaldehyde H_2S sulfur hydrocarbons compounds **On-line** Gas stream Off-line Cylinder, gas bag grab Off-line Sorbent tube sample Non-targeted & targeted analysis MS **Targeted** analysis **FID** Targeted analysis SCD Targeted analysis **ECD**



Pre-concentration of Hydrogen impurities by TD

Schematic of on-line and off-line preconcentration of hydrogen sample





Sampling and analytical options





Data quality assessment for on-line samples TD–GC–MS

Broad range of analytes in single analytical run





Future proofing with sustainable carrier gases

Hydrogen carrier gas – renewable and energy efficient H₂ x106 2.6-62 target compounds including Hydrocarbons, Sulphurs, Halogenated & Aldehydes 2.4-Carbon disulphide m/p-Xylene 2.2-Chloromethane Ethylbenzene 2-Heptane Tetrahydrothiophene Styrene 1.8-Hydrogen sulphide o-Xylene sulphide 1,1 -Dichloroethane 1.6-Chloroform Methyl mercaptan Dimethyl sulphide *tert*-Butyl mercaptan Formaldehyde Hexane 1.4 Bromomethane Benzene Ethyl mercaptan Carbonyl 1.2cetaldehyde Acetone 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2-13.5 9.5 10.5 11.5 12 12.5 13 10 35 6.5 7.5 8.5 11 45 5.5 8 Counts vs. Acquisition Time (min)





Data quality assessment for on-line samples by TD–GC–MS

Key impurities – halogenated and hydrocarbon compounds



- Halogenated and hydrocarbon compounds in mix from 0.25 to 20 ppb
- Linearity: Average R² 0.999 across the shown compounds
- Reproducibility: 9 replicates of 2.5 ppb halogenated and hydrocarbon standard <2.37% RSD for same compounds



MARKES

Data quality assessment for on-line samples using GC–MS

Sulphur Species: Inert flow path enables quantitative analysis of reactive species



- Linearity: 0.25-20 ppb standard all of shown compounds R²>0.994
- Reproducibility: 9 replicate 2.5 ppb RSD <3.57% for all shown compounds





Data quality assessment for on-line samples by TD–GC–MS

Aldehydes: formaldehyde and acetaldehyde

- Linearity: 0.25 to 20 ppb standard both R²>0.998
- Reproducibility: 9 replicates of 2.5 ppb sample, both 3.84% aldehyde RSD
- Excellent peak shape





8.0es

6.0es

2.0e5

7.8e3







Alternative detectors

Thermal Desorption–Gas Chromatography with Sulfur Chemiluminescence Detection (TD–GC–SCD)

Validation of system using alternative detectors

High quality data achieved with TD–GC–SCD



1. Hydrogen sulfide 2.Carbonyl sulfide 3.Methanethiol 4.Ethanethiol 5.Dimethyl sulfide

Linearity plot showing concentrations from 0.1 - 10 ppb for a range of sulfur compounds



Linearity: R²>0.999 for all sulfur compounds

Reproducibility: 6 replicates of 0.1 ppb standard <2.8% RSD



Targeted measurement of trace level sulfur using GC–SCD

- Selective detector mitigates impact from interferences in GC–MS.
- Allows larger sample volumes up to 800 mL.
- Highly sensitive and reproducible sulfur quantitation.



Six overlaid replicates of sulfurs in hydrogen at 50 ppt.



Validation of system using alternative detectors

Using TD–GC–SCD for sulfur compounds at trace level concentrations



Specific detectors enable detection down to trace level concentrations such as 20 ppt



Sampling and analytical options





Off-line sorbent tube samples

Sampling procedure





Off-line Analysis of Hydrogen: Sorbent Tube approach

What's best for Hydrogen transport: ammonia, liquid hydrogen, LOHC or pipelines?



MARKES

Tube-based hydrogen impurities testing

Not only 'on-line' but also 'off-line' analysis of hydrogen sample

- Remote sampling at multiple sites.
- Optimal for shipping.
- Extend storage stability especially for sulfurs.
- Enhance sensitivity with 3.5e8 extended sample volumes. 3.0e8







Sampling strategies deliver complementary information

Important for discovery and characterisation phases



Sorbent tube samples extend the range to heavier VOC impurities including tri-chlorobenzenes, naphthalene and hexachlorobutadiene.

Online or Cylinder grab are best for ultra volatile impurities including formaldehyde and hydrogen sulfide.







Instrument configuration and data evaluation for hydrogen fuel impurities

Instrument Configuration

Multi-Gas hydrogen certified UNITY–Kori–CIA Advantage-xr™ automated system

- Accommodates numerous sample types for the ultimate flexibility – on-line, sampling bags, canisters (cylinders) and has the option to upgrade with an autosampler for sorbent tube analysis
- '-xr series' can be installed onto multiple platforms, including GC–MS, GC–FID, GC– SCD – dual detectors
- Configuration enables simultaneous targeted and untargeted analysis for specified analytes and screening of samples





UNITY-Kori-CIA Advantage-xr



Single Instrument for analysing key impurities in Energy Gases





Multi-Gas-xr TD instruments

Not only can you analyse Hydrogen itself, but also WITH Hydrogen





Conclusions

Optimised solutions for targeted or untargeted analysis

- Pre-concentration by TD delivers exceptional sensitivity for measuring total impurity classes and simultaneous speciation.
- TD couple with GC/MS/FID can be applied to multiple applications (H2, CO₂ and Biogas) with a simple swapping of focusing trap.
- Sampling strategies can be optimised for workflow and target analyte considerations.
- Both On-line and Off-line sampling can be carried out in a single sequence.
- Inert flow paths deliver reliable performance for even highly reactive hydrogen sulfide and formaldehyde.
- Couple TD with GC–SCD for targeted sulfur compound analysis at ultra trace levels (ppt).
- Couple TD with GC–MS for untargeted characterisation and quantitative measurement.
- Comply with relevant standards (ISO 14687, DIN EN 17124, SAE J2719 and ASTM D7892).





Contact Markes



enquiries@markes.com



www.markes.com www.markes.com.cn

@MarkesInt



UK: +44 (0)1443 230935

USA: +1 866-483-5684 (toll-free)

Germany: +49 (0)69 6681089-10

P.R. China: +86 21 5465 1216



https://uk.linkedin.com/company/ markes-international

